


AUDACITY!

Anybody Who Is Against The White
Australia Policy Is Against The
Australian Nation - Jack Lang



The South Must Look To The South
For Strength In The Storm That Is
Yet To Break - Henry Lawson

Series 2, Number 14, Southern Hemisphere Autumn 2013 Price:\$2.50

The Asian Century verses the Australian Future: Open conspiracy to divide Australia into two countries. No new 'Brisbane Line'!

THE Federal election that is upon us hides the politics of national betrayal.

A superpower – China – is rising. Its rise was facilitated after 1976 (when Chairman Mao died) by the regime in Washington and New York. Back then, the growing New World (capitalist) Order was fighting the Soviet Union and would support Pol Pot in Cambodia and then sponsor Pol's Chinese paymaster to invade Vietnam to undermine the Soviets' attempt at hegemony in Indo-China. The US system aided China at every turn through to the final collapse of the Soviet Union, a great fact which spurred on globalisation.. All through the 1980's and 1990's too, China's economy grew, US industry shut down through cheap Chinese imports and America was left in debt where ultimately today, some two trillion US dollars in worthless paper, are owned by the new Chinese Empire.

In October 2012, our Federal government released its 'Asian Century' plan. This scheme would see Australia integrated into Asia in every way and Australia's wealth employed towards a supposedly permanent Asian economic boom. In February 2013, the Liberal Party acknowledged it had a 'northern Australia development zone plan' that would see the country's north developed as a "food bowl" for Asia and its mineral wealth expropriated for Asian

trade on a massive scale, its projects undertaken by an ant-hill of contract labourers, new immigrants and so-called 'refugees'. The northern economic zone would effectively be a wild frontier new country, certainly run by the mining oligarchs and their foreign partners and possibly policed by a private police-force-cum-army operated by SERCO, a British multinational that runs Australia's refugee centres and is an outsource for paramilitary operations for the CIA.

The two plans are alive in the materials publicly available from billionaire Gina Rinehart and her 'Australian Northern Development And Economic Vision' group. It seems they have pushed for this plan - for years.

The country is to be divided on the Tropic of Capricorn. In the north of Australia, within the new Capricornia zone, perhaps only 7% of our population lives. When immigration and refugee-arrivals are factored to the north and '457 visa' targets are achieved, European Australians would become an absolute minority in that area within less than a decade. The zone would quickly lose any Australian character.

How can such treachery and subversion be contemplated?

The New Brisbane Line

The new Capricornia 'republic' res-



Front page of the original Audacity No.6 1978

embles the 'Brisbane Line' plot of the Second World War.

Historian Drew Cottle has written a major work on this subject - *The Brisbane Line: A Reappraisal*.

Cottle speaks of a "comprador bourgeoisie", a term well understood by the Chinese from their history. It entails a group of the most wealthy of a country whose loyalty has no national focus. This group of the super-rich ally themselves with one or more foreign masters and treat their country as a factor of trade or production. In our recent time, the owners of wealth have fused with those who define them in media who

manage them in business and who legislate and police for them in parliaments, public service and police and secret police. In that regard, we now speak of Australia possessing a traitor class of wealth and privilege, a group with loyalty always focused on powers beyond our shores.

Cottle told us that during the Second World War, amidst all the controversy as to whether there was a military plan to defend only a part of Australia from the Japanese ('a Brisbane Line'), there was an operative conspiracy on the part of

Con't p.2

Australian Federation Flag. Australia's
First National Flag, 1901-1903

Australian National Flag.

Eureka Flag Or Southern Cross

YOUTH NATION DESTINY

Brisbane Line, cont'd from p. 1

our most prominent business tycoons and certain political forces to allow a Japanese occupation of a northern Australia zone which they could exploit until war's end.

The evidence is there but many dots are missing. The thing that isn't missing is that Australia's mining and rural economies were seen then as complementary to the Japanese economy and that common interests existed in the search for profit. It was even thought that a Japanese Co-Prosperity Sphere in Asia was not ultimately inimical to our national interest.

The parallels to the present are chilling. Once an enemy gets his hands on any part of Australia – why would he ever give it up?

You're just a xenophobe

In 2012, the Foreign Investment Review Board (FIRB) sponsored a meeting on Chinese trade attended by hundreds of Australian company directors, the new Kommissars of capital. The FIRB noted that it was imperative to overcome "xenophobia" where Chinese investment was concerned. We might recall Homer's adage regarding Trojan Horses. These company directors were not looking at this supposed Chinese gift-horse-in-the-mouth. Quite the contrary. They were prepared to berate their fellow Australians as just plain backward so they could turn a profit. On our part, we would check the Chinese Trojan Horse's teeth - and its underbelly.

Commonsense breeds foreboding.

We are not disappointed. 'Anti communist warrior', John Howard, has been full of praise for (communist) China and has dismissed any concerns at its penetration of Australia. In September 2012, Howard spun a rosy yarn all about a "Chinese middle class" learning "democracy", hungry for a liberal western lifestyle and that the Chinese authoritarian regime would one day yield to a lovely parliamentary democracy. Perhaps Howard could deign to tell us where in China's entire history has its people ever had any form of democratic impulse? Howard said we should welcome the Chinese state enterprises for the benefits they bring. It must be that he follows Bill Clinton's rule: "it's the economy, stupid."

The Australian newspaper reported in May 2012:

"A Chinese conglomerate represented by former Prime Minister Bob Hawke is bidding to buy large tracts of irrigated land in the Kimberley's region of Western Australia to establish a major sugar industry there."

Western Australian Liberal Premier Barnett facilitated the Hawke deal and has otherwise allowed massive Chinese purchases of Western Australian farmland.

Throughout rural Australia, from the Liverpool Plains where the Chinese attempted to 'purchase' good farmlands for coal seam gas mining, to successful land-grabs all across the country, from Cubby Station to cattle companies, dairy groups and abattoirs, the Chinese

are giving value to themselves for the worthless US paper dollars they have in abundance.

We might say that Australia is being monetized as a piece of real estate, sold off by our so-called 'allies' to solve a financial crisis that is truly a genie out of its bottle.

And you're just a xenophobe if you question it.

A Riverina 'Concession' to China

In the nineteenth century, European powers would carve out in China, zones of influence for economic and political exploitation and in this area they would enjoy special rights of business and trade and a thing called – *extraterritoriality*. That meant that any European who committed a crime against Chinese law would be dealt with in a European court inside the Concession. This rightly rankled the Chinese patriots and was even a factor in the Boxer Rebellion of 1900 and later the student uprising of 1919.

Today, we witness a Chinese concession about to be established on Australian soil where extraterritoriality will arise through circumstance.

The capitalist dog has chased its tail and it is now the Chinese who obtain the concessions. The Wagga Wagga 'Chinese Trade Centre' (CTC) has all the makings of an ethnic and legal enclave within Australia.

We witness too, the running dogs of local money like bitches on heat chasing the Chinese; from the mayor of Wagga Rod Kendall, through to local

Con't p. 3

**CLASSIC AUSSIE TEXTS
BY FRANK ANSTEY, MP**

The Kingdom Of Shylock and *The Money Power* explained the rise of finance capital in the early 20th Century. What is money? How is it created by private banks? What was the nationalist alternative?


www.alphalink.com.au/~radnat

**AUSTRALIAN LONG
DISTANCE OWNERS' AND
DRIVERS' ASSOCIATION**

Led by truck owners and drivers and to defend their professional interest is ALDODA. The President is 'Bunny' Brown, a determined advocate for truckies' rights.

The ALDODA can be contacted At:

P.O. Box 13 Adelong 2729
0438 072 494
aldoda@hotmail.com



About Audacity
Established 1977.
Re-established 2007.


The Bulletin of the 21st Century

*That which failed to destroy us,
only made us stronger.*

Subscription: Audacity will be published seasonally. \$10 for 4 issues. Cheques / money orders payable Australia First Party.

Our logo is the Janus head imposed upon the Southern Cross. This Roman god looked backwards and forwards, binding past, present and future

Audacity, printed and authorised by A.F. Norwick 725 Princes Highway, Tempe, 2044



Drugs, Despair, Deliverance: Operation Osterley, by seasoned patriot Perry Jewell, is a *must-read* for all parents with a drug -dependent child, or for all young people challenged by drugs. It makes a strong call for war on the drug criminals, for parental rights over young addicts and for a new strategy to cure the scourge. Price: \$20 from P.O. Box 7799 Mail Centre Too-woomba 4351

Australia First promotes this book.

Calling all Menzies good-times-groupies – let's party!!

THEY seek him here, they seek him there, they seek Sir Robert Menzies everywhere.

Abracadabra. Another Liberal bunny has been pulled out of a top hat. Billionaire Clive Palmer, former collaborator with Tony Abbott and John Howard and Campbell Newman in good-times-past, via his Palmer United Party, has rebirthed the Menzies *United Australia Party*

It is one of the many creatures that has reappeared upon the political stage – like the reconstituted Democratic Labor Party (DLP).

We remember that Menzies formed the second Liberal Party of Australia from the United Australia Party in 1945 to give a utopia on earth born out of the blood and treasure losses of the Second World War. A suburban paradise of continuous growth amidst plenty of consumer goods *was instrumental* in disintegrating the Australian identity and sense of tribal ethos - whatever was Menzies's intention. We say despite the hardships of the wars, a strong communal sense of Australianism prevailed which bound us together. Menzies and his cronies offered individualism without responsibility. Ultimately it became 'greed is good' – the neo-conservative Idea of Ayn Rand.

Menzies's utopia was only possible because of rebuilding from the mass loss that preceded it. Capitalism has always been subject to boom and bust, recession, depression, the ravages of the global bankers – and war. His utopia lasted a little longer than Hitler's Thousand Year Reich.

The bolshevist utopia lasted seventy years, gulags, mass murder and all. We who pride ourselves of being custodians of the nation's memory recall that he was called Pig Iron Bob. He got the name by facilitating Japanese industrial muscle just prior to war. What does Clive do? He wants to facilitate Chinese industrial power at the moment of China's rise. Indeed, for Clive anyone who objects to the China trade vision is just a racist.

Not surprising that he like Katter's Australian Party too are fellow travellers of Rupert Murdoch who has this jaundiced Chinese vision.

We remember like the Banshee and point the finger at Murdoch and say he was known at Uni as Red Rupert. Rupert was a Trotskyite internationalist back then and a neo-conservative today and has abandoned any idea of a command-economy but not his globalism and his dream to integrate all peoples, now under the capitalist umbrella.

How does Abbott, Campbell Newman, Clive Palmer and co differ from Red Rupert's agenda – since all take kingmaker Rupert's shilling?

They don't. Palmer is just more liberal than the Liberals, in the neo-conservative free-market sense – let the miners go beserk, let the refugees come, and they all become the gravediggers of the nation. Who cares? Money is made and God is Mammon. He is just too honest a capitalist whereas the others sleaze their way.

We remember too that the original DLP broke with Labor over comm-

unism in 1955, but also over Calwell's Labor Party's commitment to the 'White Australia Policy'. We remember that Cardinal Mannix excommunicated Calwell over immigration and White Australia.

We know too that the contemporary DLP prides itself over the role its parent played in destroying White Australia. Therefore, it is clearly not possible for pro White Australia nationalists to support such a party. The original DLP were satellites and clients of Menzies Liberals and kept the old Labor (Calwell) out of office. And today their role is to nobble the nationalists by preaching a little protectionism for the economy to suck in the votes.

There are too many Liberal bunnies and cane-toadies afoot in this political arena. Most of the votes they take will go back directly or otherwise to Tony Abbott.

It is interesting that Clive's PUP is the third effort to reconstitute its myth. Pauline set up 'Pauline's United Australia Party', a star-dancing and star-gazing red-head of limited intellect who has forgiven Abbott for locking her up. Incidentally, we haven't!

As Palmer himself protests, the Liberals seem to have a copyright on a fake *Uniting (sic) Australia Party* that stopped him using the label 'UAP'. Hopefully, there is some substance to their bellicose contentions and not just smoke and mirrors. If that's the case, we make no apologies as we will exploit their internecine conflicts - even if all are as one in promising a new utopia based on trade, with Bob Menzies ('the golden tonsil') as the *psychological myth* that drives them on.

Cont. from p. 2

State and Federal MP's like Daryl Maguire and Michael McCormack, the pattern shows a craven kowtow to the new masters. They promise a new suburb of up to 20,000 Chinese, situated right near Australian military facilities, with education for a number of children to the Chinese system with a cross-over of necessary local input, the whole enclave maintained throughout by Chinese. Although Australian law won't specify, the sheer numbers of the Chinese and their alien quality will guarantee their extraterritoriality.

This octopus will reach out. It is not really a trade expo centre as claimed, but a command centre. As water restrictions imposed by the UN Treaty RAMSAR destroy Australian Riverina agriculture, we note that over 70% of the area is under mining claim. Push the farmers off the land and the mines open. Then the true nature of the CTC becomes clear.

With fast trains linking it to Sydney and Melbourne and the new super Chinese Embassy in Canberra to oversee it, truly we witness imperialism in motion.

The destruction of whole sectors of the Australian economy reminds us of Stalin's expropriation of the peasants after 1928 so as to provide resources and labour to super-projects. We might rightly speak of Australia's capitalists employing a type of Stalinist capitalism to get their way. They are simply remaking Australia as they see fit in league with the foreigner.

We should not imagine that this talk of super-cities on Australian soil is new.

In 2010, whilst speaking in Sydney to the Australia-Israel Chamber of Commerce, Mr. Paul Howes, Labor impresario and leader of the Australian Workers Union, invoked a 1930s plan for a Jewish city in the Pilbara. He said the idea was valid even if now we could house a mass labour force mainly from Timor of up to 200,000. He said "key people" in the government agreed with him.

He said a Pilbara city, modelled on the city of Beersheba, could service Australia's trading partners to the north, as well as a number of industries and exploit the oil and gas of the Timor Shelf. Mr Howes is on a panel advising the government on sustainable population. Really?!

He said the city would end the "harsh, family-destroying" practice of mining companies flying workers in and out to remote areas, which was "bad for almost everyone concerned, except the shareholders". Yes, but these guys are Australians. The new city would be for aliens. Given its planned location and the increasing Chinese interest in north-western Australian, the proposal once again invokes the spectre of Rising Dragons.

Food Bowl of the north

In April 2013, an important conference on food production convened in Sydney. Involving the Frank Lowy Institute and billionaire Anthony Pratt and major politicians and global regulators, there was a plan advanced to feed 200 million Asians. However, it seems that this really means 200 million Chinese. In so far as 'feeding the world' is



any sort of vision, the narrowness of that vision defines its nature and the ominous future planned for our country. It should not be thought that making China dependent on our food production means it becomes docile; quite the contrary, it becomes aware of its own dependence and it could well seek a more permanent or *final* solution.

With damming of the northern waters, exploitation of the monsoon rains and intense Plantation style farming, it is thought that the North could become a major food producing area within a decade.

All the parties, from Liberal and Labor, through to the Bob Katter party and Clive Palmer's party, are in favour of this northern development idea. There is no thought of turning some waters south to cleanse the Murray Darling system and sustain the Riverina and Sunraysia agricultural areas. Rather it is all to serve the Asian Century and the Chinese **s u p e r p o w e r**.

But the plan entails the possession of a massive labour force in the hands of Australian capitalists and their Chinese partners.

Armies of Chinese in Australia?

In the *Australian* newspaper November 22 2012, (ex-ambassador to China) Stephen Fitzgerald, who is hardly a 'xenophobe', warned that the Chinese state involvement in the large Chinese student populations of our cities represents a challenge to freedom of opinion – and democracy! Fact!

We recall that in 2008, almost 10,000 Chinese students mobilised in Canberra to oppose a group of Tibetans demonstrating for the independence of the region from China. Their counter-demo showed organization and power. Since that time, the Chinese have lobbied throughout Australian universities to restrict any activity that offends the Motherland. What is this but interference in the affairs of a sovereign country?

To undertake the vast constructions needed to create Capricornia, a labour force of at least a couple of hundred thousands would be required. Further, to maintain the system and to integrate it into the Asian economy and in particular to service the Chinese superpower would entail the massive expansion of existing cities such as Darwin, Cairns, Townsville and Rockhampton and countless other towns and locales. With an alien population and a Chinese business class, the area would quickly resemble as we have said – a new state.

As in Africa, China supplies considerable human resources to 'development'. So they are well versed in the mechanism. They build infrastructure, establish Plantation economies and police their own needs. If we were to say that the labour armies of the future in our country's north might all be young men between 20 and 30 years, all fit and well-disciplined, could it be that the People's Liberation Army might 'lend' us the workers?. And if that was so, would this mean that at any point of time more than ten divisions of Chinese troops would be actually on the Continent? Could this be another reason why US strategists situated an American Deployment Force in Darwin? The regime in Washington / New York has organs like the Trilateral Commission and the Council for Foreign Relations which plan around the needs of the New World Order. Given the rivalry now of the two superpowers and also their interdependence, managing northern Australia, would be a priority.

The developmentalism of the Asian Century plan and the northern zone plan place our land under new yokes and the idea that any ordinary Australian could profit from this is ludicrous. It is the scheming of the oligarchs.

The intimidation of Australian political culture by Chinese students would be nothing to the presence of the People's Liberation Army if 'the moment' eventuates. The evolving neo-colonial status of Australia opens up for the coercion of Australians at all levels by the rising superpower and for the contention between it and the declining US superpower of the New World Order system. All this heightens the physical danger of either a clash of the titans on our Continent or even more darkly – its formal division between the two, something the Capricornia 'Brisbane Line' seems to suggest. On the one hand, the US concedes an area to the Chinese to give value to its worthless paper but still seeks cooperation with it in trade and investment; on the other hand the stage can be set for military conflict.

Mad Max of Capricornia

The alienation of a part of Australia into a special economic zone, the presumed cornucopia of Capricornia, must mean the disintegration of all things Australian in the north. That is the essence of the new Stalinist capitalism – smash, slash, burn, level the ground and build again.

Most Aussies have seen the Mel

Gibson movie, *Mad Max*. It depicts a post war world of running-down technologies, lawlessness and government uncertainties.

Could this be the future of parts of Australia between the two zones and in the southern zone as Australians would also come under attack by the communal violence of unassimilable ethnic groups and a certain break-down of all norms that would occur under the impresses of living in a divided land?

Such a nightmare scenario means that Australians owe no loyalty to the Australian state, whatever that may now mean - and only loyalty to themselves as a People. The social contract is dissolved.

And the Aborigines too

In April 2013, David Farley prominent cattleman's leader, announced that for Australia to produce for the Asian and Chinese markets, it was necessary to rethink the Aboriginal 'Land Rights' claims. That was always on the cards. They are heavily in North Australia sitting right on top of the "food bowl" and mining land.

Way back in the 1980's, there were certain mining and financial interests who opposed any 'Aboriginal Land Rights'. Hugh Morgan was instrumental in hiring a certain ex communist called Geoff Macdonald who authored *Red Over Black* which asserted that the Land Rights movement was an attempt to divide up Australia and benefit some sort of (imprecise) communist cause. These folks, taken together with their allies in various economic-rationalist think tanks, were called 'New Right' - meaning they were rabid free-marketeters or neo-conservatives of the Thatcher-Reagan style. At the time, other liberal opinion militated against them and the Aborigines seemed to come into possession of certain rights over particular lands. This is now to be overthrown. It is no longer in any way compatible with globalisation and any notion that the Chinese would have any consideration for any Aborigine, is also ridiculous.

Whether any Aborigines see any commonality of interest with white Australians is problematical, but not impossible. But their dispossession in the northern zone is certain in the Stalinist capitalist revolution soon to operate there.

Clashes of the superpowers

In Australia's history there was also a time when two great powers lobbied over Australia – the British and Japanese empires.

The precedent was set that empires have both convergent and contending interests and the aim of any empire from Babylon to Rome, onto our modern Tower of Babel is to secure the interests of its centre to the detriment of even its clients.

Most have seen the Tom Cruise film 'The Last Samurai'. Little do they know that there was such a Samurai revolt in 1877-1878 and it had one great effect. It destabilized Japan such that its internal needs briefly overcame its imperial ambition and therefore no Japanese colony, as to be established by South Australian and 'Home' agreement, would be founded in the Northern Territory with some 50,000 Japanese settlers! Con't p

Australia First Party has always been the vanguard of national resistance

A.F. Norwick (AFP candidate for Chifley, 2013)

"We are a plague on the Earth. It is coming home to roost over the next fifty years or so. It's not just climate change; it is sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the natural world will do it for us and the natural world is doing it for us."

Sir David Attenborough

On June 19 2013, at Sydney's State Theatre, Sir David Attenborough speaks on the imminent perils of global over-population. This, in combination with a series of conferences hosted by Sustainable Population Australia and certainly supported by the Stable Population Party, commencing on March 14 and culminating on April 4 with an address by Professor Paul Ehrlich, explores many interconnected problems that are propelling global civilization towards collapse in our lifetime.

Driven by increasing overpopulation in the Third World and overconsumption by the rich in the First and Second Worlds, these dilemmas include climate disruption, loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services, global poisoning, depletion of resources (especially soils and groundwater), and the threat of vast famines, epidemics and resource wars. Sustainability is not attainable except as a theoretical premise. Pipedreams pushed by Marxists and extreme liberals (including most Christians) who posit a redistribution of wealth without solving the population problem in the first instance - can be dismissed.

At least now in Australia there is emerging a consciousness of a global population / food crisis which impacts severely upon Australia. But there is a long way to go in getting solutions.

We are in fact heading towards Armageddon and Audacity! posits that only an Australia first platform founded upon the principle that Australia cannot be a lifeboat for the wretched of the earth, can secure our people's future.

How and why? As the reader will observe below, we print a speech by Sir Phillip Baxter given at a meeting of National Resistance in 1977.. Baxter paints the picture for you.

Neither the organizers of this year's conferences, nor the contemporary Stable Population Party, have been the vanguard of acting on this dire prophetic warning.



Sir David Attenborough

Much of the leadership of the Australia First Party as now constituted belonged to a student political association founded in 1977 - National Resistance. National Resistance had a presence on most Sydney campuses, particularly UNSW.

The new activist group had its name inspired by a reference in the biography of Arthur Calwell:

"No matter where the pressure comes from, the Australian people will continue to resist all attempts to destroy our White society. I reject in conscience, the idea that Australia should or can ever be a multi-racial society and survive."

We would "resist" and be inspired by his call to resistance - National Resistance!

National Resistance was made of patriotic dissenters from many other groups, including Laborites, the DLP / NCC and others who sought a nationalist road.. It published a university broadsheet entitled Audacity which morphed into a tabloid Advance and then into the paper Audacity. It was the first anti immigration journal to promulgate Dr. Paul Ehrlich's now decades-old thesis concerning a global disaster brought about by a population / food crisis .

Much of the cadre of this movement went on into several subsequent groups, some of whom continued to produce Audacity! as well as ultimately ending up with Australians Against Further Immigration (AAFI). It was sad that AAFI became submerged in One Nation, because many cadre abandoned their commitment to a deep-green logic and followed the ultimately pro immigrationist logic of One Nation which remained bedeviled by the delusion that a larger population generates economic activity. It makes real estate agents happy, but the environment and the people suffers if a commitment to ZPG / net immigration population isn't implemented.

A Deep Green environmentalist movement has nothing to do with the Green parties. Its view is that humanity is a part of nature, a sentient part perhaps, but still a part of it and that all is intertwined. This ideology is eco-centrism not anthropocentrism or humanism. The Green parties are liberal humanist parties which believe that the life of any speaking biped is so incredibly important that they would threaten the survival of the ecosystem itself. They are the advocates of pro-refugee-ism, like a Sarah Hanson-Young.

Certainly, One Nation is no longer worthy of support by patriotic anti immigration and 'White Australia' forces, since it is neither anti immigration (regardless of ethnicity or origin), nor is it pro a European Australia. Their commitment to continued Third World Christian immigration which dovetails with the goals of the Christian Democrats implies that they cannot be counted upon to serve as any sort of bridge to the preservation of a white English speaking Australian identity founded upon the Occidental culture.

The Stable Population Party - on the other hand - although not an ethnocentric nationalist party, deserves the support of all patriotic people ,



Sir Phillip Baxter, a visionary who understood where Australia would be placed decades beyond his death.

regardless of whether party-aligned or not. They are the proverbial 'little Dutch boy' who places his finger in the dyke. Wherever there isn't an overt pro Australian candidate, or in particular an Australia First Party candidate, they merit our support as long as they keep their finger in that proverbial dyke

In the late 1980's and early 1990's, the Australian Democrats had come under the influence of a 'deep green pro environmentalist lobby' under the leadership of Dr. John Coulter. Today Dr. Coulter is the Vice-President of Sustainable Population Australia Inc. He and his faction in the Democrats were 'coup-ed' by watermelon Trotskyites and liberals and they then repudiated the Democrats ZPG / net population position in favour of open doors and more refugees. This precipitated many Democrats to defect to AAFI as led by Robin Spencer. Clearly, the phrase watermelon draws its inspiration from 'fruit' that is green on the outside, but ranges from pink to red on the inside.

It is hoped that the Stable Population Party will not allow itself to be captured as the Democrats were and that it will keep an environmentalist position paramount and exclude the watermelons. That being the case, it is quite possible that from this milieu some of Australia's future national leadership will emerge in a broad patriotic people's front.

In conclusion, we reiterate what was said in the new Audacity! No.1 that Audacity began through a direct commission by one-time New South Wales Premier Jack Lang to reaffirm his paper The Century and to continue to promulgate a pro European Australia and Old-Labour nationalist position.

Its commissionaires, E.F. Azzopardi and (now Professor) F.K. Salter, did precisely that with the old Audacity of the 1970's as we have commenced to do with our new paper since 2007.

Sir Philip Baxter Addresses National Resistance

The following article is a direct reproduction of the item "Scientist Speaks Out" (Advance <later, Audacity>. No. 3 December 1977). In doing so, we point out that Sir Philip's scientific logic is impeccable, but his perception of time frame is a couple of decades out.

"I believe, and I hate to sound so dismal, that we will see between now and the end of the 20th century disaster ... global disaster and global war."

These were the words of Sir Philip Baxter, the distinguished scientist and one-time chairman of the Australian Atomic Energy Commission, who was guest speaker at a meeting of National Resistance in October 1977. Sir Philip was involved in the Los Alamos project which developed the first atomic weapon during World War Two and he was later to become the first Vice Chancellor of N.S.W. University, retiring in 1969.

In an electrifying address lasting nearly an hour Sir Philip shocked his audience with cold hard statements of fact: At the European standard of living the planet could support some 1500 million people. At the standard of living maintained by the United States, it could only support 500 million people. Over 4,000 million people are alive today. "It is time that Australian politicians looked to some of these facts and the community was advised of the dangers it is running."

ADVANCE! does not hesitate to do what Australian politicians, media and educators are agonizingly slow to do: publicize the opinions of an expert. The following is a condensed version of Sir Philip's speech.

"It doesn't worry me. I won't be here. Our first speaker told us when he was born - in the 50's. Well, I was born just after the turn of the century. So give me another decade and my worries will be over. But I am concerned about my children and grandchildren. Their future is probably more uncertain at this moment than at any other time in human history."

"Now it seems to be that there are, broadly speaking, two courses that man can take. He has reached for the first time in history the house full condition. Up to now, until perhaps only thirty years ago, man lived in a world where there was room for expansion, room for growth. We could always accommodate new members of the human family but we have passed that point today; we can only do it with a dramatic decline in our standards of living, if at all. The house is full and the whole rules of the game are altered."

Cont., p.6

The Banking Swindle:

Dr. Kerry Bolton

WHAT irony – and tragedy - that at the very time the world is going through a 'debt crisis', the masses of people know far less about the issues of banking, money and credit, than our parents and grandparents. During the Great Depression, as the famous New Zealand Labour politician John A. Lee commented in one of his pamphlets on the subject, people were talking about banks and credit in pubs, in the home, on the shop floor – everywhere. (Lee, *Money Power for the People*, Auckland, 1937).

The First New Zealand Labour Government was elected to Office in 1935 mainly on its policy of nationalising the Reserve Bank and issuing state credit. Even then, typical of the Left, once in government, Lee had to fight hard to get the election promises implemented. The most significant of these was New Zealand's iconic state housing project, a public works scheme that while building durable houses on half acre sections, also found work for 75% of the unemployed. How was this done? By the Reserve Bank issuing 1% state credit, without causes the bugbear of 'inflation'. (C Firth and G Wilson, *State Housing in New Zealand*, Government Printing Office, 1949).

In Alberta, Canada, at the same time a Social Credit party came to Office, and despite being obstructed at every occasion by the Courts, issued 'Prosperity Certificates'. (Bolton, *Banking Swindle*, p. 101). Previously a similar scheme had been tried in the small town of Woergle, Austria, and by so doing this community got itself out of destitution, but was then obliged to discontinue its 'scrip', and went back to destitution. (Bolton, pp. 84-86). During the 1930s communities across the USA issued their own local 'scrip'. Although it is not politically or academically expedient to say so, Germany, Italy and Japan all overcame the Depression by bringing banking under state control and issuing state credit for public works, and not at all with a focus on 'rearmament', as is generally claimed. (Bolton, pp. 103-117).

Now, as the world blunders about in debt, the 'Right' has forgotten its heritage as the vanguard of resistance to the bankers, and at best offers little more than half-measures such as demanding import controls, while the Left offers banal measures such as taxation. Neither strike at the root of the problem, what in previous years was recognised as nothing less than a 'sin against God': *usury*, the charging of interest on loans.

When once usurers were dragged through the streets as the lowest of humanity, today they are lauded as respectable businessmen, and their fraudulent money creation is described as sound business practice. It is a fraud. Banks create credit through a mere computer entry based, when at all, on a fraction of the deposits they actually have. They lend out this 'magically' created credit at interest, which means that the loan must be paid back in real money based upon one's real labours, plus interest. This is then re-loaned at interest, and so on. Hence debt accumulates, whether by individuals, households, comm-

-unities, or entire states, until things go bust.

Such economic busts have provided the bankers, the cause of the crises, with the excuse to insist on more power to fix disasters of their own making. Today this travesty has happened in Greece, for example, where Goldman Sachs took over the profits from Greece airports to pay off debts. When a nation is placed in such debt to the international banks one's future is mortgaged, and when it is time to call in the loans that cannot be paid, the state resorts to selling off assets and resources to the transnational corporations.

The answer is simple, but suppressed: If private banking interest can issue credit as a commodity making a profit (through interest); then states can issue their own credit as a public service. After all, currency and credit were supposed to be merely convenient tokens for exchanging goods and services. When communities and states have recognised this they have prospered even in the midst of Depression. Such state credit was the intention of King O'Malley's plan for the Commonwealth Bank of Australia. (Bolton, pp. 89-95). The methods of banking and usury have not changed since O'Malley's time. What has changed is the now dire lack of understanding of how bankers operate and what needs to be done again.


K R Bolton is a 'contributing writer' for 'Foreign Policy Journal', and writes regularly for 'New Dawn' (Australia). He has been widely published on a variety of subjects in both the scholarly and general media. His latest book, The Banking Swindle: Money Creation and the State (ISBN-13: 978-1-90847 6-84-5) will be available from amazon.com and other major outlets or can be ordered through your bookshop.

Breaking the Bondage of Usury. Kerry Bolton

The following are some excerpted sections of an article written by Kerry Bolton in the journal Ab Aeterno (with permission).

The lines of opposition between the Right and plutocracy might be most conveniently traced back, in the Anglophone world, to the English Civil War where financial interests backed Cromwell. The Puritan Roundheads and their allies such as the Levellers (proto-communists) were the embryonic forces of Whig Liberalism and commerce. The Cavaliers were the embryonic manifestation of Conservatism. It is an historic irony that today Whig Liberalism, or libertarianism, is often made synonymous with the 'Right', the 'new right' (in the Anglophone world) and 'neo-conservatism'. Hence, free market policies are generally referred to as 'right-wing.' Historically, the Right is nothing if not a force for tradition – of land, family, and the organic community – against money-based politics, of which Marxism is just the flip-side.


Even prior to World War I the British inventor and businessman Arthur Kitson (1859-1937) was advocating debt-free money.



Informing oneself is an essential part of becoming apolitical activist and getting to the root cause of debt is vital.

The Banking Swindle is not an economic textbook filled with technical jargon that only serves to obscure important issues. Rather, this is a book intended to explain in a straightforward manner the way private banking interests - which have no loyalty to anything other than to greed - create credit and money as profit-making commodities which has driven individuals, businesses and entire states to ruin through debt. As importantly, *The Banking Swindle* examines the many communities and states that have rejected the fraudulent banking system, and sometimes had to fight to do so, and brought prosperity where there was destitution, by taking issuing money and credit for their legitimate purpose: as mere tokens for the exchange of goods and work, debt-free. *The Banking Swindle* is unique also in regard to its coming from the 'Right', and redefining the 'Right' with precision, after decades of having been misinterpreted by both the Left and Classical Liberals as being synonymous, especially in the English-speaking world, with Free Market Capitalism, which it is not, and never has been. Indeed, as *The Banking Swindle* shows, drawing on such thinkers as Oswald Spengler from the Right, and Karl Marx himself from the Left, Free Market Capitalism is subversive and anti-conservative. *The Banking Swindle* shows that historically it has been the Right that has fought Usury, that it was Rightist parties that offered clear policies on overthrowing the power of the bankers. The Right has largely forgotten this background, at the very time when policies are needed to address the world's number one issue: Debt

Although few have now heard of Kitson even among banking reformers, where in the Anglophone world at least, the primary influence remains Major Douglas and Social Credit, Kitson had a seminal influence on the beginnings of the modern banking reform movement. The Nobel Prize winning scientist Professor Frederick Soddy, for example became an avid banking reformer in his own right via Kitson, writing *Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt* (1926). In New Zealand A N Field adopted Kitson's proposals rather than Douglas's, and his Depression-era books such as *All These Things*, *The Truth About The Slump* and *The Truth About New Zealand*, became best-sellers, contributing to the groundswell of public opinion that



Why do our wealthy elites favour a leftist social agenda but dog eat dog free markets?

In *Revolution From Above*, Dr. Bolton demonstrates that the supposed rivalry between Marxist-inspired movements and capitalism has always been an illusion. Marxism, Communism and Liberalism have been and continue to be exploited by the forces of international capitalism to further their global agenda, despite their surface disagreements. Dr. Bolton shows that the ultimate goal of capitalism is to create a worldwide collectivist society of consumers, and Marxism is merely one means of attaining this. This idea was adopted from Plato, through the Illuminati, the Freemasons, the French Revolution, and Communism, and examines the evidence for the existence of a shadowy network of bankers who control a large portion of the world's political and economic power. He then discusses the various instruments this network uses to maintain control, such as tax-exempt foundations and think tanks. Dr. Bolton also reveals how capitalist governments actually worked closely with Communist regimes and, in fact, frustrated genuinely anti-Communist efforts during the Cold War. He discusses the impact this has had on Western society, resulting in such trends as the sexual revolution and the promotion of drug use. Dr. Bolton then brings us up-to-date by discussing the role of the recent "Arab spring" in these ongoing developments. One will never be able to view modern history the same way again after reading Dr. Bolton's arguments and examining the supporting evidence.

elected the First Labour Government to Office in 1935 on a platform of state credit.

The USA (also) has a great populist tradition of resisting the bankers. During the 1930s Father Charles Coughlin founded the National Union for Social Justice, campaigning against usury and for state credit, which became a mass movement before being scuttled by a combination of the Roosevelt regime, and the Catholic hierarchy which was eager to secure a US Ambassador for the Vatican. However, the American Right has primarily focused on racial issues, preoccupied rather with a legacy of segregation ,
Con't p. 6



The Chinese octopus cartoon of the 19th Century. It may yet be a reality for 21st Century Australia

Brisbane Line con't from p 3

That was the time when, like the China of today, Japan was sending out surplus population and building a trading empire from Hawaii to South America and Manchuria, Taiwan and Korea.

The betrayal of the Australian interest by Britain is a sad fact for old-time loyalists, but it shows us today how empires work.

The comprador bourgeoisie of the Brisbane Line period acted upon solid precedent as do the *Sinophile-sychophants* of the traitor class of today.

Headlines in Australian newspapers late last year proclaimed, "All Eyes On China In Asian Arms Build-Up" and "Shun US 'Tiger' And Japanese 'Wolf', Colonel Warns". And early this year from Sinophile Greg Sheridan, there was - "Asia Pacific Powder Keg".

The rise of the new Chinese superpower and its imperialist ambition occasioned Julia Gillard to journey to China in March and announce that this country's subservience to the New World Order superpower did not mean that it was hostile to China. Indeed, the Prime Minister welcomed every Chinese economic intrusion into Australia. This visit was undoubtedly linked to the Asian Century plan and the northern development zone plan.

Another headline in recent times reveals, "China To Wean Australia Off US", implying that the Chinese imperialists are seeking greater leverage over the Australian traitor class. The Chinese promise is that the swollen udder of their milch cow will wean them off the drying breast of a Colonel Sanders in his dotage.

As China's navy expands its influence over the entire Pacific region, contentions emerge at every turn. Chinese traders have jumped into every Pacific country and the Chinese navy stages visits to Auckland. The Chinese spar off with Vietnam and the Philippines over oil rich areas and threaten to reintegrate Taiwan by force. Chinese agents have also been active in Papua New Guinea, one short jump to Cape York.

The reckoning

Legendary Australian labour leader, William Lane, authored a fantasy novel in his paper *The Boomerang* in 1888. Entitled *White or Yellow? A Story of the Race-War of A.D. 1908*, Lane imagined a time when corrupt Queensland politicians and the plan-

-tation owners and mining interests would encourage a massive Chinese intrusion into Queensland. It would destabilize the colony's politics and bring a civil war between a people's party and the rich. The latter would rely upon the Chinese for support. In Lane's story the partisans of Australism prevailed.

A similar and more influential work was the novel by C.H. Kirmess (Sir Frank Fox) *The Australian Crisis*, published in 1909. This work was so widely read in the young Australia that it precipitated a mass clamour for the foundation of an Australian navy and played a role in instigating an Australian militia - the Citizens' Military Forces.

The work 'predicted' that the British Empire would betray Australia and that the Japanese would invade northern Australia to secure its food supplies, the British also occupying parts of Australia in order to protect its interests and enforce Australian compliance to obtain a suitable peace. Australia would fight civil war to reestablish itself against British-incited divisions and to stand firm against the Japanese. Australians would found an irregular 'White Guard' partisan and militia force to leader national resistance against imperialism.

Our readers can locate the Kirmess novel at:

<http://www.instituteofaustralianculture.com/part-iii-chapter-iv-the-australian-crisis-1909/>

It would appear that Australia has not only had direct experience of imperial and comprador attempts to divide it, but that Australians have also theorized in the past about these very subjects.

We patriots of today call these facts in aid of our current struggle.

If we can be so bold, let us again turn to Chinese history for a model to deal with those who have forsaken their Nation. It was Jiang Jing, wife of Mao, who during the Cultural Revolution, used the Red Guards to 'drag out' and punish those who were not following the Chinese line of revolution. It would seem to us of the Australian national resistance that a 'White Guard' needs to 'drag out' the traitor class and to create the conditions to expel all imperialism from Australia - and take national independence.

The Brisbane Line shall not pass!

Dr. Jim Saleam, Australia First Party candidate for Cook.

BAXTER con't from p. 4

"If we ignore this you will live to see, as Ehrlich said, within the next 20 to 30 years famine, on a scale that is unprecedented. Famines that will not kill tens of millions, but thousands of millions. We need only a run of bad harvests now to land us in a really grave famine situation. In another 20 to 30 years any minor emergency will prove catastrophic. Mass starvation leads to epidemic disease and conflict. People, when they are starving, don't lie down and die. If they can invade someone who has food and land, they will. There'll be local conflicts that will develop- and here is another terrible danger - into world war. And if you end up with global conflict and nuclear war then again you have a unique situation in human history."

"Another factor contributing to the overall problem is the decision made a few years ago by the oil producers to put up the price of oil. One can't blame them for this. Their reserves are running out. They've got another 20, 30, maybe 40 years at most by which the time they will be selling their Rolls Royces and buying Australian camels. But in order to put off that evil day they have put up the price of oil to about five times its old price. This has had very serious repercussions on the economies of developed countries, but much more serious repercussions on the economies of most of the underdeveloped countries."

"For example, in 1971 India spent \$560 million buying oil, fertilisers, and 21 million tons of grain from overseas. To do that in 1976 would cost \$3100 million because oil and fertilisers had gone up four times and grain three in price. But India's total foreign exchange was only \$2400 million, so they could not possibly buy those resources, which they desperately need."

"From A.D. 1 it took 1550 years for the human population to double. It then doubled in the next 200 years, then in the next 100 years. At present it is doubling every 35 years or less. The U.N. thinks we will have somewhere around 6,500 and 7,000 million people by the end of the century. If that rate of increase keeps up, by the year 2025, there would be up to 15 to 20,000 million. It isn't really worth looking any further because the world couldn't possibly support that number of people in anything like an acceptable standard of living."

"Then there are other consequences of this population growth. Denis Britton, a professor of Agriculture (and economic policy), writing quite recently said this:

"We know that the world population will double in the final quarter of this century. Few people have yet thought seriously about the prospect that six out of ten will be born in areas of subsistence agriculture. Two thirds of the world's population already live in these areas, over most of which there are more people than the land will carry under primitive cultivation and livestock grazing. The result is the progressive destruction of irreplaceable soil and water resources."

"There will be four very desirable places of real estate left in the world: the Southern Part of South America, Southern Africa, New Zealand and Australia. Of these, Australia will be the most attractive with its massive resources and low population

density. We could very well at that stage find ourselves threatened with invasion, our shores besieged by vast numbers of refugees of all races and nations"

"It's a very frightening situation. It may be that the powers arranged against us in that situation will be so great that we will not be able to cope. But at the moment of course we can't cope with anything because we are virtually disarmed."

"The extent to which we are prepared and able to defend our country will decide what happens to Australia in the future."

"This means that our military preparedness must be completely rethought. The present mentality of those running our defence forces, that Australia will fight another war the way we fought on the Kokoda Trail, is nonsense. We couldn't hope to achieve anything on those lines. But we are scientifically and technologically advanced as a community and we should be looking at the most sophisticated military defences that can be provided."

"This isn't the time or occasion to go into details but I would like to show you that I am not alone in this sort of thought. I would like to quote something said by a Californian professor, Garrett Hardin, who has been regarded as a "dove": "One of the peace-at-any-price" people. He was taken to task about this in the American scientific journal and was provoked to state "So long as population growth is uncontrolled then the defence of territory is necessary for the survival of human dignity somewhere. We can call such a statement selfish if we wish but what to we gain from the pejorative?"

"There is of course the vexing issue of ethnocentrism; if not all groups can be saved, who shall be favoured? Only the mythical man from Mars could give an objective answer to that question but in his absence each of us must give his own reply."

"I have no objection if others made of nobler stuff wish to give their wealth to the Africans, Indians, Pakistanis, or what have you. In truth I must confess I cannot bring myself to do so. If the poor of the world cannot or will not take their multiplication under control I shall try to protect my access to the goods of the world, undeserving as I may be, and seek to save some of the world's resources for my grandchildren and theirs."

"I subscribe to those views entirely and I think we haven't got much time ... a decade? Possibly."

"There have been many more people who have talked on these subjects and made these warning statements which the world's so called statesmen and politicians never seem to hear. Of course, they live mainly for an election in three to five years time and are really not interested in anything beyond that."

BOLTON, con't from p 5

Black slavery, Southern Reconstruction, Civil Rights, and Latino proliferation. This is evidenced for example by the relatively scant interest in economic questions even on quality websites such as *Counter-Currents/North American New Right*, despite the promotion of monetary reform by its founder, Dr Greg Johnson, and a few others such as radio interviewer Robert Stark.

Partisans for National Resistance - Australia First Party Candidates, Federal Election 2013

THE Australia First Party candidates in the 2013 Federal election are partisans of National resistance to the globalisation of Australia's politics, economics, culture and population. They are committed to the defence of our European society.

By States and House of Representatives—and Senate.

New South Wales:

Chifley:



Alex Norwick is our candidate for Chifley situated in the Mt. Druitt—Blacktown area of western Sydney. Alex said: "I have lived in greater western Sydney for over thirty years and seen my area become a Third World ghetto. I am fighting to reclaim my country."

As a nationalist writer, he has contributed to the development of a new Australianism.

Cook:

Jim Saleam is our candidate for

Cook, situated in the Sutherland Shire of Sydney. Jim says: "I am exposing the soon-to-be 'Minister for the Brisbane Line' and Kommisar for Slavery, Scott Morrison."

As a nationalist activist and writer, he has also contributed to developing the new Australianism.

Lindsay:

Mick Saunders is our candidate for

Lindsay, situated in Penrith City. Mick is a truckie and has lived in the Penrith City area most of his life. Mick was active in the 2008 National Transport Shutdown. Mick says: "Ordinary Australian small business and working people have no voice. I try to give it"

Mick benefits from Australia First having a Councillor in Penrith City, where we proved our mettle by winning 3000 votes in one ward.

Macquarie:



Matt Hodgson is our candidate for Macquarie situated in the north-west of Sydney and the Blue Mountains. Matt has an understanding of small business and trades issues and has works in building. Matt says: "There must be community based decisions in our local areas by way of referendum".

As a long-standing nationalist activist Matt has been a continuous and applied campaigner.

Newcastle:



Michael Chehoff is our candidate for Newcastle. Michael is an analytical chemist. Michael says: ""I am concerned over unsustainable population growth driven by immigration, which must impact on the Newcastle people's lifestyle, undermining heritage and the environment. "

As a long-standing nationalist activist Michael has also been a candidate for other patriotic movements.

Riverina:



Lorraine Sharp is our candidate for Riverina. Lorraine is a homemaker and part-time worker, but has her heavy vehicle license. Lorraine says: "I am standing up for farmers against the water regime and for ordinary workers against contract labour, for Real Australia."

As an organizer, Lorraine has spread the message of resistance to the Chinese Trade Centre throughout Riverina.

To support the New South Wales campaign financially:

Australia First Party (NSW): Westpac Bank: BSB 032 057 ; Acc No. 27 1393.

Contact – check party addresses and websites.

Victoria:

Deakin



John Carbonari is our candidate for Deakin, situated in eastern Melbourne. John has been a small businessman and community activist. John says:"I am committed to see the rebirth of the great country I came to decades ago".

John was a previous candidate for the party, representing us in local government elections.

To support the Victorian campaign financially:

Australia First Party (Victoria): Westpac Bank: BSB 033 044 ; Acc No. 35 06523.

South Australia:

Port Adelaide:



Terry Cooksley is our candidate for Port Adelaide. Terry is retired and is an Australia First Party organizer. Terry says:"I believe that the ordinary working people of Port Adelaide have been betrayed by the advocates of globalisation and I want to see a genuine fightback."

To support the South Australian campaign financially:

Australia First Party (NSW) Inc. at: 032 057 24 1768.

On Preferences

As a general rule, because preferential voting is compulsory in Federal elections, Australia First Party 'preferences' the sitting Member — last, or at least 'after' his other big party 'opponent'. Essentially, we see Labor and Liberal as two faces of the same system. In any case, parliament is really but a formal law-making centre for decisions made elsewhere by those who own the Australian 'state'.

Queensland

Senate:



The party is fielding a two-man ticket. It is led by Mr. Peter Schuback

Peter was the candidate for the party in the 2010 Senate campaign when he polled almost 10,000 votes.

Peter Schuback has been known State wide as a truckies advocate and was a candidate for Fraser Coast Council last year when he polled nearly 20%. To facilitate Peter's grass roots campaign, he can be contacted direct on 0408 458 232.



Our second candidate is Mr. Peter Watson. Peter was born in Charleville in 1992 and has lived in Warwick since 1994, completing his schooling there. In 2011, he was elected Branch Secretary of the Labor Party; he was endorsed by the ALP as the Southern Downs candidate at the 2012 State Election. He was disendorsed prior to the close of nominations after he spoke out against Labor's anti working class position on privatisation, industrial relations, immigration and 'gay rights'. Peter was expelled from the ALP and joined Australia First Party.

To support the Queensland campaign financially:

Australia First Party (Queensland Branch): Westpac Bank: BSB 034 660 Acc number 281704

Check the Queensland website for Senate campaign news and specifics on how to campaign across the State.: <http://afqld.blogspot.com>

Senate Preferences

This Senate election will see a welter of candidates. Australia First Party will have a registered ticket so people can just Vote 1 above the line. It will treat Labor / Liberal / Greens as the same and break their tickets into individuals and cross-preference them all over the place. We will preference all other parties and groups ahead of them and in a way that reflects our party position.

RESPONSIBILITY for all electoral comment: Alex Norwick 725 Princes Highway, Tempe 2044.

AUSTRALIA FIRST PARTY



THE Australia First Party has nominated candidates in the Federal poll.

The candidates' details are given on page 7.

The party is developing a major effort at outreach and expects to distribute hundreds of thousands of printed items and appear in paid advertising. The party participates in elections to raise its profile in the community, to recruit new members and offer new ideas.

Members and other patriotic people are advised that all donations to the party, from \$2 to \$1500 are tax deductible.

THE Australia First Party has continued to develop its relations with New Zealand allies. In late March, Dr Jim Saleam conferred in Christchurch with representatives of a few nationalist groups and prominent Kiwi nationalist, Kyle Chapman.

In April, Mr. Chapman moved to found the Resistance Party to mobilize Kiwis against Chinese imperialism and economic globalisation. The party plans to register itself late next year for participation in NZ national elections.

Meantime, Mr. Chapman has forged bonds with other nationalists to participate in local elections this year.

EUREKA YOUTH LEAGUE

A new nationalist youth movement, led by Australian youth, has formed. The Eureka Youth League (EYL) operates a website and a blogsite. See:

<http://eurekayouthleague.angelfire.com/>

<http://eylaust.blogspot.com>

The EYL is the future of the Australian people's movement. It publishes an array of leaflets and adhesive stickers (\$5 per 100). The EYL sells a T-Shirt at \$12 posted (all sizes). Write to P.O. Box N291 Grosvenor Place 1220 for materials

NATIONALIST ALTERNATIVE

Nationalist Alternative is an ideological website and activist group. Nationalist Alternative has developed useful critiques of globalism, multiculturalism, liberalism and nationalist politics and participated in free speech and other protest work.

The Australia First Party works with likeminded organisations. This cooperation is governed by its united front principle. The party recommends the website

<http://www.natalt.org/>

The Eight Core Policies Of Australia First: A Programme And A Method For National Rebirth!

Whatever will benefit Australia, that we are for; whatever will harm Australia, that we are against. William Lane

2 THE Eight Core Policies of Australia First are the basis of association for the party. They are (with explanations and the implied ninth point) as follows:

1 Ensure Australia Retains Full Independence

Protect our sovereignty (national, constitutional and personal) and maintain an adequate defence, whilst being reasonable and fair in our nation's international dealings.

2 Rebuild Australian Manufacturing Industries

This is the only way we can be self-sufficient. It will provide jobs for our children, and help buy back the farm and allow Australia to be free of foreign debts. Our infrastructure has been run down over many years - it must be rebuilt. We must improve the practicality and relevance of our educational systems, and target government support for industry to diversify, innovate, perform and expand. We recognise that small business is fundamental to this policy. A satisfactory financial environment is also urgent and essential.

3 Control Foreign Ownership

Bring foreign ownership and investment back under control.

4 Reduce and Limit Immigration

Immigration mistakes can be big long-term mistakes. Immigration policy must take into account social cohesion, employment opportunities, urbanisation and environmental issues

5 Abolish Multiculturalism

End the divisive, government-funded and institutionalised policy of multiculturalism.

6 Introduce Citizen-Initiated Referenda

Amend the Australian Constitution such that the people can initiate a constitutional referendum which, if approved by the Australian people, will amend the Australian Constitution. This simple step will confirm the political authority of voters and make legislators aware that they are the servants of the Australian People, not their masters. The people directly should also possess the sovereign right and the power to initiate other legislation

7. Strengthen the family

Promote policies that strengthen and protect the traditional family.

8 Strive to Rebuild A United Australia

Promote policies that recognise the interdependence of city and country.

9 Democratise Other Policy Issues

All other policies (non-core policies) are matters of free conscience and are not binding upon Australia First's future parliamentarians or councillors who are to represent their electorates.

Issues of public interest on which Australia First needs to formulate policy will be canvassed with the party membership, and plebiscites conducted where deemed appropriate by the party's National Council. The party also permits branches to formulate specific electoral policies or community policies not inconsistent with the Eight Core Policies.

From time to time, the party will issue material that provides interpretation of the core policies. This interpretative material would reflect the spirit of the party.

The organizational purpose for this statement of policy and system for policy creation is simple: Australia First does not require weighty tomes which change from month to month, as do the programmes of the Establishment parties and those who ape them. It requires a focus for action and for unity within the party.

Australia First is to build a new national movement. Practicality is method.

Where To Find Australia First

National Contact Line:
02 8587 0014

National Council e-mail:
ausfirst@alphalink.com.au

New South Wales:

Australia First (NSW) office: P.O. Box 593 Rockdale 2216

Blue Mountains: P.O. Box 202
Katoomba 2780

Coffs Harbour: 0419 492 917

Sutherland Shire: P.O. Box 2499
Taren Point 2228

Australia Wide:

Qld. State committee:
afpqld@hotmail.com
Australia First (Qld. Secretary):
P.O. Box 893 Rockhampton 4700
Australia First (Gold Coast)
afgoldcoast@gmail.com

Australia First (Adelaide)
afsa@live.com.au
P.O. Box 101 Holden Hill 5088

Australia First (Western Australia): P.O. Box 129 Collie 6225

Australia First (Victoria): P.O.

Box 223 Croydon 3136

'Australia First' Web Sites

New South Wales:
<http://ausfirst.alphalink.com.au>

Queensland:
<http://afqld.blogspot.com>
Victoria:
www.australiafirst.net

Western Australia:
<http://afpwa.blogspot.com>
Australian Capital Territory:
<http://australiafirstpartyact.blogspot.com>

Hunter Region: <http://australiafirshunter.blogspot.com>
Sunraysia:
<http://afsunraysia.blogspot.com>
Riverina:

<http://australianidentity.blogspot.com>
Blue Mountains:
<http://afbluemountains.blogspot.com>

South Australia:
<http://australiafirstsouthaustralia.blogspot.com/>

Truth Television:
www.truthtelevision.net

Other Australia First Contacts

Australia First also operates in other locales such as Newcastle, Riverina and other towns and cities. Contact the National Contact line.