


# AUDACITY!

Anybody Who Is Against The White  
Australia Policy Is Against The  
Australian Nation - Jack Lang



The South Must Look To The South  
For Strength In The Storm That Is  
Yet To Break - Henry Lawson

Series 2, Number 19, Southern Hemisphere Summer 2016 Price:\$3

## The ISIS Crisis: a neo-con contrivance

"ISIS is the progeny of those in Washington and London who, in destroying Iraq as both a state and a society, conspired to commit an epic crime against humanity. Like Pol Pot and the Khmer Rouge, ISIS are the mutations of a western state terror dispensed by a venal imperial elite undeterred by the consequences of actions taken at great remove in distance and culture. Their culpability is unmentionable in "our" societies."

John Pilger

In 1982, Oded Yinon, a fanatical Zionist wrote:

"Syria will fall apart, in accordance with its ethnic and religious structure, into several states such as in present day Lebanon, so that there will be a Shi'ite Alawi state along its coast, a Sunni state in the Aleppo area, another Sunni state in Damascus hostile to its northern neighbor, and the Druzes who will set up a state, maybe even in our Golan, and certainly in the Hauran and in northern Jordan. (...) This state of affairs will be the guarantee for peace and security in the area in the long run, and that aim is already within our reach today..."

See: Oded Yinon, "A strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties", Kivunum, translated by Israel Shahak, February 1982.

The time has come to trumpet the



Reagan and Begin enjoy coffee and bagles,  
whilst, Bill played the Merchant of Venus, but no cigar.

"Those who don't know history are doomed to repeat it." (Edmund Burke)

triumph of 'the Don'. But for whom President-elect Donald Trump will prove to be the trump card is yet to be seen. Wisdom demands that we apply an old American Revolutionary adage from George Washington in the War of Independence of the "necessity of keeping one's powder dry".

The Obama - Hilary Clinton administration was not simply the ideological successor of Bill Clinton, but also of both the Bush's. The neo-con / neo-liberal establishment supported equally the Bush's and the Clinton and the Obama administrations.

All the Bush presidents and wannabees, lobbied for Hilary Clinton to

become president in 2016.

Ironically, this clique of bloody murderers in their support, may have given her the kiss of death. That is not to say that Hilary's hands are free from blood. It is recommended that the following two documentaries (Internet available) are viewed by Australians:

A. Oliver Stone (History Channel)  
The Untold History Of The United States In Ten Chapters.

B. BBC Documentary, Power of Nightmares.

These items set the stage for Clinton's crimes, from her support of the

invasion of Iraq, through to the murder of Colonel Gaddafi and her psychopathic references to others. They explain the mechanics of US power, as in operation from before the Second World War, showing a continuity of policy since the Roosevelt Administration with earlier echoes of the Woodrow Wilson era. President Wilson inaugurated the League of Nations, the precursor of the United Nations and took America into a European war in pursuit of the Balfour Declaration (the British declaration that gave Palestine to the Jews). From 1933 onwards, Roosevelt represented the founding of the policies to establish a New World Order suggested by the

Con't p.2

Australian Federation Flag. Australia's  
First National Flag, 1901-1903

Australian National Flag.

Eureka Flag Or Southern Cross

## YOUTH NATION DESTINY



Con't from page 1

issuing on a new American dollar note with Masonic symbolism announcing a new secular order of the ages. We beg to ask: why was it necessary to proclaim to the world this goal and – what is this goal? Maybe we are now actually seeing the fruits of this New World Order – and we don't want it!

Consider these gems from Hillary's mind:

"We came, we saw and he died." (cackling over the sodomising and murder of Libyan leader Colonel Gaddafi by US sponsored terrorists promoting democracy.)

"He better be careful or he'll end up like Gaddafi." (in reference to Russian President Vladimir Putin.)

"Can't we just drone this guy?" ( referring to Wikileaks editor, Juian Assange.)

If we consider the countless Wikileaks materials which expose the nexus between the neo-con-invisible-empire or shadow government in America, both Democrat or Republican in character and its *Project For A New American Century* (often described as a *'New American Empire'*), we can see why Hillary was so eager to "drone" Assange, since he exposes her direct complicity in terrorism and war crimes. Recently, conservative commentator Ron Paul (who is sympathetic to Donald Trump) warned the President-elect of the machinations of this shadow government which is determined to destroy him unless he acquiesces to their monstrous imperial policies. Nonetheless,

this brings to mind the ancient Greek aphorism: "those whom the gods destroy they first make mad."

Helping ISIS

In Obama's second term, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton authorized the shipment of American-made arms to Qatar, a country beholden to the Muslim Brotherhood, and friendly to the Libyan rebels, in an effort to topple the Libyan/Gaddafi government, and then ship those arms to Syria in order to fund Al Qaeda, and topple Assad in Syria.

Clinton took the lead role in organizing the so-called "Friends of Syria" (aka Al Qaeda/ISIS) to back the CIA-led insurgency for regime change in Syria.

Under oath Hillary Clinton denied she knew about the weapons shipments during public testimony in early 2013 after the Benghazi terrorist attack.

In an interview with Democracy Now, Wikileaks' Julian Assange is now stating that 1,700 emails contained in the Clinton cache directly connect Hillary to Libya to Syria, and directly to Al Qaeda and ISIS.

Killing Gaddafi

The report from the U.K.'s Foreign Affairs Committee confirms that the *U.S. and other Western governments exaggerated the human rights threat posed by Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi* and then quickly morphed the "humanitarian" mission into a military invasion that overthrew and killed Gaddafi, leaving behind politi-



A university in Afghanistan in the 1950's - 'our side' created Islamism through the war with the Soviet Union

cal and social chaos.

Gaddafi was telling the truth when he accused the rebels around Benghazi in 2011 of being penetrated by Islamic terrorists. The West, including the U.S. news media, took Gaddafi's vow to wipe out this element and distorted it into a claim that he intended to slaughter the region's civilians, thus stampeding the United Nations Security Council into approving an operation to protect them.

There was even a monetary motive in removing Gaddafi from power. On April 2, 2011 email from Clinton's personal adviser Sidney Blumenthal explained that Gaddafi had plans to use his stockpile of gold "to establish a pan- African currency" and thus to provide the Francophone African Countries with an alternative to the to the French franc."

Blumenthal added: *"French intelligence officers discovered this plan shortly after the current rebellion began, and this was one of the factors that influenced President Nicolas Sarkozy's decision to commit France to the attack on Libya."* Another key factor, according to the email, was Sarkozy's *"desire to gain a greater share of Libya oil production."*

In Sarkozy's current aspirations to revisit the French presidency, all we can say is 'more of the same' we can certainly agree with Jean-Marie Le Pen that he does not have a drop of Gallic blood in his veins, let alone the Gallic spirit.

Recently, the Russian foreign minister, Sergei Lavrov, said, *"there was an authoritarian leader there (in Libya) as well and he was disliked, but there were no terrorists at all during his rule."*

*"And when he was removed, Libya was turned into a hotbed of terrorism and in a country, through which militants and weapons are going to the south (Africa) while those same migrants that are bothering Europe are going to the north,"* the Russian foreign minister said.

Con't p.3

Hear ye, hear ye. The boom of a Trumpet echoes calling all and sundry to the podium of the President-elect of these United States, Donald J. Trump.

Do we note that, in this august assembly there be a number of youths with Donald Trump hair styles cheering with great enthusiasm? The Don, as he appears on the mega flat HD TV screen in the University of Sydney's Manning Bar, is welcomed with rapture by a mob of civic patriots some with neo-conservative affiliations. We see that among these fellow travellers an apparition hovering over the screen, gazed fixed upon the countenance of Donald Trump. Am I deceived or hallucinating, or am I an Ice-addict, but did I behold the ghostly image of Nicholas Hunter Folkes? It may have been the Ice I bought off his girlfriend Tobes. Clearly, I felt a profound connection and a broad vision of a new Australian birth of freedom courtesy of the Australian Party For Freedom.

Alas, alas, I now recall. Nicholas Hunter Folkes and the Party For Freedom have historically been opposed to key policies espoused by Trump well before the election.

The Don said that we should never have gone to war in Iraq, that we should never have gone to war in Libya and should not be at war in Syria. That we should not have carried out carpet bombing of our historical allies, the Serbs. And that a rapprochement with the Russian Federation is essential for peace and good will towards all men. Is the Don good 'ole Saint Nick bringing peace and good will to all men?

He is sworn in on January 20 2017. So there will be plenty of time for Xmas cheer, singing Yuletide carols and toasting the New Year.

In the New Year, continuing in the spirit of Santa's silly season all genuine pro White Australia Policy ethnocentric Australian nationalists intend to make toast of the civic patriot satellites of the neo-conservatives. The time has come for these cretins to own up to what they are. They may now embrace Trump, but where were they when genuine Australian nationalists promulgated Trump's Middle East policies? Twenty years ago, Nicholas Hunter Folkes was a youthful member of the Liberal Party and his Liberal confederates maintain that his world view did not differ from John Howard and Tony Abbott. Howard and Abbott were the war criminals who invaded Iraq and who tried to beguile Australians with the weapons of mass distraction. They are simply neo-cons like Blair, Merkel and Sarkozy. Genuine ethnocentric Australian nationalists are willing in the spirit of Saturnalia to forgive and forget those who have trespassed against us, but we demand that they publicly flagellate themselves and confess to the world their trespasses against us and the White Australian Nation. But alas, such mea culpas will never be seen since they don't believe in the White Australian Nation.

We differ with these creatures and remember William Lane's words: *Australia is not a sect or a section, it is not a caste or a class, or a creed, it is not to be a Southern England , nor yet another United States. Australia is the whole White*




Gaddafi shakes hands with a would-be Gallic coq

People of this Continent (Boomerang Nov. 18 1887)

Folkes's Party For Freedom in tandem with a cretinous Liberal Party operative, Howard Crawford by name, has made much of white Australian nationalists being present in a counter-culture semi bohemian milieu imbibing in the grog and praising the virtues of Colonel Gaddafi. It is fact that Trump, Pat Buchanan and Ron Paul and a whole body of traditionalist palaeo-conservatives all concur with Sergei Lavrov that Libya and other Arab states were not broken and hence there was no need to fix them by intervention. This particular view of the Middle East is also universally accepted by the European nationalists of the Alliance For Peace And Freedom. Ironically, before the rejected wannabee Gallic coq Sarkozy by proxy sodomised Gaddafi whilst murdering him - he enjoyed the millions of Euros the Colonel bestowed.

Blair again faces another likely inquiry into the invasion of Iraq. Trump threatened Hillary with an inquiry into the deaths of Americans in Libya. History has proven us right!



About Audacity  
Established 1977.  
Re-established 2007.

The Bulletin of the 21st Century

*That which failed to destroy us, only made us stronger.*

Subscription: Audacity will be published seasonally. \$12 for 4 issues. Cheques / money orders payable Australia First Party.

Our logo is the Janus head imposed upon the Southern Cross. This Roman god looked backwards and forwards, binding past, present and future

*Audacity!*, printed and authorised by Verity P. Welles, 725 Princes Highway, Tempe, 2044



Con't from p. 2

The Russian foreign minister cited the American proverb: "If it's not broken, don't fix it."

"Iraq was not broken, Libya was not broken, Syria was not broken," Lavrov said.

In view of the shocking refugee assaults on Europe from across the Mediterranean and from the Levant, we remember the comments of the murdered Gaddafi:

"Tomorrow Europe might no longer be European, and even black, as there are millions who want to come in....."

He was speaking at a ceremony in Rome in 2010, standing next to Italy's Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi.

"We don't know what will happen, what will be the reaction of the white and Christian Europeans faced with this influx of starving and ignorant Africans."

"We don't know if Europe will remain an advanced and united continent or if it will be destroyed, as happened with the barbarian invasions."

In Audacity! No 11 (2011), we said in 'No More Bloody Snake Oil War', that the "money power is unleashing the population genie as a battering ram ... and the money power rides this apocalypse ... Only through the disintegration of the ethno-specific communities (ie nation-states) that are now scheduled to be atomised into grains of sand – can its world empire rise." Was Audacity! being prophetic?

So the New World Order murdered Gaddafi.

A disease called neo-conservatism.

Donald Trump well-prior to his election condemned the continuation of the neo-con Bushevik policy by the Clinton Democrats and the Obama Democrats and it may well be, that to use the strine vernacular, 'the Don' may be 'on his Pat Malone', surrounded by the instruments of the shadow government of neo-cons who constitute the administration and who draw their inspiration from political philosopher, Leo Strauss

Leo Strauss is the spiritual father of the Neo-Conservative movement, including many leaders of the current administration.

Indeed, many of the main neocon players – including Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Perle, Stephen Cambone, Elliot Abrams, and Adam Shulsky – were students of Strauss at the University of Chicago, where he taught for many years.

These people who are currently pushing for war against Iran are the same neocons who pushed for war against Iraq. They planned both wars at least 20 years ago. For example, Shulsky was the director of the Office of Special Plans, the Pentagon unit responsible for selling false intelligence regarding Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. He is now a member of the equivalent organisation targeting Iran: the Iranian Directorate. It is salient to say John Howard and all the coterie of neo-con sympathizers in Australia were all panhandling promoters of the delusion of the weapons of mass distraction.

Strauss, born in Germany and who was Jewish, was an admirer of Nazi philosophers and of Machiavelli. This is not surprising since former Prime Minister of Israel, Menachem Begin who whilst a member of the Zionist terrorist Irgun in British controlled Palestine, sought to collaborate with the Nazis in an invasion of Palestine.

Strauss believed that a stable political order required an external threat and that if an external threat did not exist, one should be manufactured. Specifically, Strauss thought that: a political order can be stable only if it is united by an external threat ... Following Machiavelli, he maintained that if no external threat exists then one has to be manufactured. This is brought out in the documentaries previously cited.

The neo-cons were the driving force for the Iraq War and the overthrow of Saddam Hussein and they in collaboration with the billionaire George Soros, were the architects of the so-called 'colour revolutions' from the Balkans to the Baltic and the so-called Arab Spring of 2010, in the name of democracy. All that we see is a trail of blood and lakes of blood following the trail to seize the oil wells, but nowhere is 'democracy' to be seen – unless it is on celluloid in Hollywood.

It appears, according to Wikileaks and other counter-media sources, that the misanthropic billionaire George Soros is now one of critical financiers of anti Trump protests in America. His intention? A new 'colour revolution', this time 'purple' to bring Trump down. Ironically today, it is this neo-conservative controlled neo-liberal intelligentsia that are mobilized to serve the belligerent aims of the New World Order and today, the liberal-minded youth are crying over the loss by their messiah Hillary of the presidential election. Facebook has written off these millennials (sic) as a historical and biological mistake.

MI6 said Iraq War "Inextricably Tied" to Israel – the great war crime of the neo-cons

'The Don' has also announced that the invasion of Iraq was stupidity that led to dire consequences for the world. It prefigured the rise of ISIS and a new global terrorism.

The decision to wage war against Saddam Hussein's Iraq was "inextricably tied" up with the

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05794498 Date: 11/30/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

The best way to help Israel deal with Iran's growing nuclear capability is to help the people of Syria overthrow the regime of Bashar Assad.

Back to Syria. It is the strategic relationship between Iran and the regime of Bashar Assad in Syria that makes it possible for Iran to undermine Israel's security – not through a direct attack, which in the thirty years of hostility between Iran and Israel has never occurred, but through its proxies in Lebanon, like Hezbollah, that are sustained, armed and trained by Iran via Syria. The end of the Assad regime would end this dangerous alliance. Israel's leadership understands well why defeating Assad is now in its interests. Speaking on CNN's Amanpour show last week, Bringing down Assad would not only be a massive boon to Israel's security, it would also ease Israel's understandable fear of losing its nuclear monopoly. Then, Israel and the United States might be able to develop a common view of when the Iranian program is so dangerous that military action could be warranted. Right now, it is the combination of Iran's strategic alliance with Syria and the steady progress in Iran's nuclear enrichment program that has led Israeli leaders to contemplate a surprise attack – if necessary over the objections of Washington. With Assad gone, and Iran no longer able to threaten Israel through its proxies, it is possible that the United States and Israel can agree on red lines for when Iran's program has crossed an unacceptable threshold. In short, the White House can ease the tension that has developed with Israel over Iran by doing the right thing in Syria.

The rebellion in Syria has now lasted more than a year. The opposition is not going away, nor is the regime going to accept a diplomatic solution from the outside. With his life and his family at risk, only the threat or use of force will change the Syrian dictator Bashar Assad's mind.



"problems" created by Israel, a now-declassified but formerly top secret letter from the UK's foreign intelligence unit, MI6, stated back in 2001. The document, sent by the private secretary of MI6 head Richard Dearlove, to Sir David Manning, former UK Ambassador to the United States, was revealed as part of the Chilcot Inquiry into the Iraq War.

The Chilcot Inquiry – which, to no one's surprise, found that former UK prime minister Tony Blair had misled the country, parliament, and the world about the true nature of Iraq's nonexistent "weapons of mass destruction"—deferred from making any direct reference to the Jewish lobby's proven role in fomenting the Iraq War, although Israel is mentioned hundreds of times in its supporting documents.

Although 'the Don' purports to be friendly to Israel, in changing the public discourse of the Iraq war, he is in fact undermining the pro Israel dialogue in US politics and dare we hope – opening a Pandora's Box, the proverbial can of worms.

NATO allies in the war

'The Don' has also questioned the value of NATO. He took NATO's negative role back to the terror bombing of Serbia in the 1993. He noted that Serbia had been an ally of 'the West' in two world wars. American commentators were already well aware that the CIA also supported Islamist groups in Bosnia at this time and

channelled weapons from there to Muslim Chechnya in the south of Russia (destabilising Russia). They also set out to ensure the Wahhabi version of Islam (from Saudi Arabia) would gain hegemony in the Muslim world. We note that al-Qaeda founder Osama bin Laden was a Wahhabi and received CIA funding in the 1980's. NATO was expanded and its activity in the Balkans has been negative if only because it created a criminal and Muslim enclave called Kosovo and imposed a veritable wall around Serbia. Indeed, evidence exists that ISIS has a base in Kosovo – just down the road from an American base! This monstrous detail was exposed in full by Nick Griffin, now Vice Chairman of the Alliance For Peace And Freedom and previous Member of the European Parliament.

The role that Turkey (a NATO ally) has played in actively supporting ISIS is known to all. It was Russia which revealed this to the world after this fact long circulated in counter-media circles.

The involvement of President Erdogan and his family in profiteering from ISIS oil was an allegation that the Turkish president has vehemently denied. However the facts are there.

"Turkey is the main consumer of the oil stolen from its rightful owners, Syria and Iraq. According to information we've received, the senior political leadership of the country - President Erdogan and his family -



# The Revolution Against Conservatism

WE are not hair-splitting, but rather attempting to formally define, what is meant by Conservative Revolution and then - why we are going to lead a revolution against it so as to in fact, effect the 'real Conservative Revolution'.

Senator Cory Bernardi has written a major pamphlet under that title. And our goal is not only to dissect it, but to detonate the dormant ideological time-bomb for which his document is a trigger, before it goes off at the appointed time of its progenitors and causes serious political damage to those who are in fact ethically traditionalist and patriotic and dare we say – put Australia First.

As we shall see, this mob here calling themselves conservatives or conservative revolutionaries are more related to the neo-cons in America and to their parent, the 1980's American and Australian New Right ideology. These were the forces which inspired the Ronald Reagans, the Margaret Thatchers, the practitioners of neo-liberal hyper-capitalist economics be it the Milton Friedman variety or the Aussie Hugh Morgan type. They always liked to say that wealth would trickle down to us less worthy ones, if only they were allowed to follow their economic goals unimpeded.. In the last thirty plus years, all that we have seen is the quickening of the economic inequalities of every Western country which took this formula – Australia most definitely. This creed of salvation may promise manna from heaven, but all that we see is a ludicrous mirage, a big Aussie beef pie in the sky and so we are tired of the naivete they would consign us to. It looks like at first glance that Cory is a re-run of Hugh Morgan and the rest of them. Fortunately, for *Audacity!*, its editorial board is made up of wizened old buggers not born yesterday. We remember!

It is the purpose of our article to differentiate the very term Conservative Revolution which is really a European concept employed by schools and intellectuals – like GRECE, Alain de Benoist, Pierre Krebs, Thule, Alexander Dugin, Arcotogaia, Armin Mohler and so forth and just to confuse you again, they also used the term 'New Right'. Formed from the late 1960's, they employed the original ideas of Conservative Revolutionaries of the more distant past (Arthur Moeller van dem Bruck, Ernst Junger, Ernst Niekisch, Oswald Spengler, Julius Evola and so on) to critique the spiritual crisis of European Civilisation. These theorists were spiritually and economically opposed to the money value systems implicit in the neo-liberal and conservative world ("dollar democracy" as Spengler put it). Taken up into our modern period, the new theorists and schools looked at consumer capitalism, borderless globalism, cultural relativism – and found the modern world wanting, and to refer to the title of a seminal work by Baron Evola promulgated a 'revolt against the modern world'.. They connected the Left and the Right and they linked what the Anglophone world called conservatism to capitalism, maintaining as their forebears did, that organic units, collectivities, gene pool and cultural

unities were really the driving forces of national *and even personal wealth* creation and achievement and this went well beyond purely economic attainment. Because these biological realities produced overall outcomes, it was the individualism of the greed is good conservatism (or was this just economic liberalism, as promoted in the Anglophone world particularly by Hollywood?) that was the problem. Amused by the little muse that has whispered into our collective ear, we are compelled to conclude *that this is now our problem with Cory Bernardi?!*

The original Conservative Revolutionaries understood that a cosmo-biological foundation existed to nation-states, cultures and civilisations. This perspective re-presented by Russian professor Lev Gumilev in his works *Ethno-genesis And The Biosphere* and *On The Biological And Geographical Conception Of Ethnic History*, was noted by a group of early Australian radical nationalists who identified the 1980's Anglophone New Right of the capitalist type as *outside* of the spiritual-political dynamics that underlay a cosmo-biological and socio-biological world view and thus - organic society.

Our former *Audacity!* editor authored *The Social Revolutionary Nature Of Australian Nationalism* (1984, and edited over time) and drew on these schools past and then -contemporary, to suggest the Nation was a biological, spiritual, geographic totality. This 'Idea' was encapsulated at first in the Australian labour-nationalist tradition receiving refinement and more thorough articulation in the world-view presented by other Australia First pundits past and present, by men such as PR Stephensen in his seminal work *The Foundations Of Culture In Australia* (1936)

If there is to be a Conservative Revolution then we are and continue to be its instigators and most certainly Cory's new economic New Right and neo con inspired drive is simply a parody, a cheap facsimile cum fake-similar! Today Cory Bernardi and his fellows may control all the media instrumentalities cum fax machines but we call upon the 'gut-feeling' of the Nation that these creatures regard as rednecks, drongos, etc. We remember from our history that there was once prior to 1917 an aristocracy that equally believed that its so-called dark masses were too docile, if not stupid, to revolt against an elite that assumed itself to be divinely appointed. In short, we recommend to Cory and Co. they should look up Henry Lawson's poetry and find in it an intellectual minefield detonating a revolt of the masses against those who made a misery of a paradise made for our sons and you! So we are obliged to make a revolution against conservatism so as to bring on the real Conservative Revolution.

## Cory's revolution

Understanding Cory Bernardi and his conservative revolution is a job of detective work, if one unworthy of Sherlock Holmes and Hercule Poirot. The story took us to business failure ex Senator Bob Day of Family First. If you thought Bob Day was a Christian moral crusader, then you'd be

wrong. Free market buccaneer

might better describe him. The spectre of his private housing company (Huxley Homes) loaning him money to pursue his political ambitions yet leaving behind a host of dissatisfied young married couples – showed that it was personal-advancement-first not family-first.

Morry Schwartz's *The Saturday Paper* said:

"Day's pursuit of IR reform has been tireless, in roles including that of national president of the Housing Industry Association, director of the Centre for Independent Studies, chairman of the Institute of Public Affairs' Great Australian Dream project, chairman of the Bert Kelly Research Centre, member of the Mont Pelerin Society, and as secretary of H.R. Nicholls and Samuel Griffith Societies. He also was a founder and inaugural president of the Independent Contractors of Australia, supported by H.R. Nicholls, the IPA and labour-hire companies intent on stripping award protections."

We can say without contradiction that each of these named associations is part of the ideological-cultural underbelly of the Liberal Party and so-called conservative politics generally. They are part of the mobilization necessary to maintain Liberal Party government.

In particular, we refer to the Bert Kelly Research Centre, a small office complex established in South Australia to honour the late anti-protection and free market advocate, Bert Kelly. The group describes its role as to "promote and defend the moral basis for free markets, the rule of law and Australian constitutional democracy, and in this way honour the contribution made by Bert Kelly to Australian political and public life." It also houses the Ray Evans Library, named after Ray Evans, a friend of Kelly's and a former executive with the Western Mining Corporation. And closing the circle: WMC was directed by Hugh Morgan through the 1980's and into the 1990's.

The Bert Kelly Centre serves as – surprise, surprise, - the office for the Conservative Leadership Foundation, the group founded by self appointed head of the conservative revolution, Liberal Senator Cory Bernardi.

There are more circles, more inter-relationships. In December 2011, the Centre hosted the launch of climate sceptic Ian Pilmer's book, *How to get expelled from school – a guide to climate change for pupils, parents and punters*. The event was sponsored by the Centre, the Institute of Public Affairs and the National Civic Council. The book was launched by Bernardi.

As we have said elsewhere, this climate sceptic movement spawned Malcolm Roberts, now a Pauline Hanson's One Nation Senator from Queensland. And his current associations include people from the Institute of Public Affairs. His politics support the union busting of the H.R. Nicholls Society (a rather different thing to pin-pointing corrupt union bosses) and he recently spoke in support of Turnbull's law to



**Cory Bernardi, author of the trite, but not quite Right, 'Conservative Revolution'**

muzzle the unions. Roberts is creature of this movement which helped lay the basis for the rebranding and political neutralization of Pauline Hanson, her transformation into a safety valve for dissent against globalization and linked to these very same conservatives. Hanson at least offers the apparent glamour of one who danced with the stars and clearly for that she scrubbed up well, but whose IQ ensured that she remained a politically-tamed scrubber.

Then those circles again which yield yet another new crop. Roberts's Galileo Movement, which is the premier Aussie climate sceptic group, was funded by Gina Rinehart. This Rinehart connection has many impacts. In mid November, her speech on curtailing unions and reviving free markets and cutting government spending was delivered to the Institute of Public Affairs by Sophie Mirabella, a former Liberal parliamentarian (who is now her press agent). Mirabella also combines a certain religious traditionalism with free marketeering. The IPA is everywhere.

Rinehart's idea of free markets might best mean teaming up with Shanghai Cred, a Chinese corporation, to buy Kidman Pastoral Company with its vast land and cattle holdings. Now that aroused a lot of public anger and drew fire in the Parliament. However, Rinehart was supported in this quest by Pauline Hanson on two major occasions, with the scrubber even doing a video saying how marvelous Gina is as an "Australian" and that selling the company two-thirds / one-third, kept it in Australian hands. She didn't say that the cattle will now be sold to China, which was the point in the first place, raising the price of domestic Aussie beef and causing us to import beef (the best has been sold) and enslaving a part of the economy to the new Chinese Empire. Consciously or otherwise, Pauline was offering a pork pie to augment the mirage of the beef pie in the sky.

A Rinehart-connected blog also said:

"One Nation senator Pauline Hanson, always opposed to the sale of farmland to foreigners, declared she is happy, given "the vast majority of the company -remains in the hands of Australians."

**Con't p. 5**



Con't from p. 4

"Ms Rinehart has my full support and gratitude," Senator Hanson said.

"I have faith in her love for the country and its assets, and won't stand in the way of an Australian buying the country's largest cattle property."

Making an exception for Gina Rinehart is just so convenient.

Yet, deeper it goes. In Roberts's maiden speech in the Parliament, Roberts advocated a version of the nationalist idea of cheap credit. However, he linked it to a type of public bank that could finance "northern development". Gina Rinehart runs Australians For Northern Development And Economic Vision (ANDEV). Given her intense involvement in what we call the construction of a northern zone, an area of great mines and plantations and cheap labour (see *Audacity!* No. 16), was Roberts just serving a mistress who enjoys cracking the whip?

Note also, that Rinehart criticises the levels of government spending just like all those free market groups (and Hanson). It is a big happy family. After all, if you can make Gina's dream come true (remember, she did say that Africans would be happy to work for \$2 an hour) you can bust unions, deregulate everything, sell all public assets and allow visa labour. Is Gina a rural matriarch more akin to Ma Barker, a real robber baron – or just robber.

It is the little persons, the useful idiots of Lenin's phrase, who make the capitalist vision possible. Each small capitalist dreams that he will be a fat capitalist. So he becomes the bully boy brigade for the super rich. He can beat everyone else down to extract his pound of flesh.

In this regard, we can see that Senator Day's membership of the Mont Pelerin Society, was no accident. So are many other Liberals part of this incestuous clique. The original founders of Mont Pelerin included Friedrich Hayek, Frank Knight, Karl Popper, Ludwig von Mises, George Stigler, and Milton Friedman. The Society advocates freedom of expression, free market economic policies, the political values of an open society. The members see the Society as an effort to interpret in modern terms the fundamental principles of economic society as expressed by those classical economists. In point of truth, these bastards represent unrestrained dog eat dog capitalism , the thing which favours the super-rich over any small business, any

farmer, any worker. Against their vision we offer the historical Australianist producerist alternative of old labourism.

Corny Bernardi has produced a relatively voluninous work. But verbosity is neither a vindication of luminosity and most certainly not an indication of a well conceived theory of society, the dynamics that modify it or the processes that underpin it. He refers to the cultural revolution which began with the so-called Age of Aquarius the baby-boomers and some of their predecessors and obviously, we are living in the apogee of their work.

Bernardi believes that an ethically traditionalist position can serve as a moral compass to guide society to produce an antidote to what he calls "cultural marxism" which might be better said to be *totalitarian ethical-cultural liberalism* (as defined by Alain de Benoist) where everything is ethically relative. No person, whether they be motivated by a secular ethical traditionalism which has an overlap and underpinning in Western Christian values would have a problem with such a position, providing that the aim was not to establish a political Christian theocracy.

We must remember that Occidental civilisation also underwent the Renaissance (which drew on the Greco-Roman past) as well as being currently in the post-European Age of Enlightenment period. Reason and secularism in tandem was a development of that very Age of Enlightenment. Anglo-European Australia was a product of the Age of Enlightenment. From the outset, we were a secular society where no State religion of any kind was proclaimed, whilst tolerance of all religious practices not offensive to the Occidental mind, was the norm.

To comprehend the stage of our present Occidental civilisation one would be better in referring to Oswald Spengler's *The Decline Of The West*. Bernardi's insights are trite and lacklustre despite the pretense of being a luminary of wisdom and a guide to us lesser beings.

To use anachronistic English, he is a fellow of knaves, fools and scoundrels. In his case, patriotism really "is the last refuge of a scoundrel" (Samuel Johnson).

A Christian renaissance?

Does Cory believe in his version of Christianity? He may, but people like Gina Rinehart are certainly moral imbeciles, because they are willing to undermine the conditions hard-won by our working people. There was a time when Australia

was a leader in the Occidental world, the Anglophone world too, in terms of our social position (women's suffrage, working conditions, public welfare). The conservative revolution of Bernardi and Co has attacked all this over the decades. They would now kill it and are actually attacking this very foundation of the Australian National Ideal.

Corny desires a Conservative Revolution. So what institutions or existing instrumentalities, are to be subject to this revolution? Clearly some churches are part of the mobilisation. Gentile Zionists like Danny Nalliah? Certain pentacostals? If you don't mean national catholic, Anglicans, Orthodox, then it's obviously the so-called Moral Majority types who were part of the Reagan-Thatcher effort in the 1980's who they must use. A lot of Liberals have the Hillsong Church connection. Is that it?

Or what if the Conservative Revolution was to involve a party? A lot of speculation has spun around Corny that he might found his own party. No, no, no. Most folks don't get it. When Corny praises the election of Trump, or Brexit and says leaders are not listening to the masses, he doesn't mean that he wants to lead those masses against the leaders. What he means is that he wants the masses to return to leaders who "listen". In other words, as we Aussies say, he's a BS artist, par excellence.

Now, Abbott will not be the recycled leader since the personality-cult of the budy smuggler wearing a condom on his head has browned off the Australian people. Turnbull may not be the right man as his social-liberalism precludes him. Whatever and whomsoever comes, Bernardi will be there.

So, we witness to the idea he reps for a vocal wing of the Liberals and that Corny works to consolidates the Liberal Party's internal regime around a new conservative statement.

Pauline Hanson's Liberal Party Extra

Hanson as a coalition partner for the conservative revolution? Why not? It becomes a nice way to defuse mass protest and dissatisfaction with elitist politics. The fish and chip lady is a necessary accessory to the conservative revolution of Cory and Co. It gets a populist flavour.

Hanson's party is crawling with ex Liberal MP's recycling themselves to a safe parliamentary seat, ex Liberals wanting a place to talk patriotic-talk, and two leading Liberals (Campbell Newman and Colin Barnett) have said that swapping preferences and giving ministerial positions to One Nation is appropriate. We might ask, and allowing just for one moment that the popular belief that One Nation and ourselves are both nationalists is true, then what Road To Damascus were all these Liberals on when 'we' (sic) converted them? No, they didn't convert. This looks like more pie in the sky or just another pork pie. They just joined Pauline's Liberal Party Extra. They are still Liberals and this party is just a Liberal Party channel under another name.

Perhaps we need to lay down our own rules against all conservatism. We went up our own Mt. Kosciuszko



Thank you Dick Smith, cos I wannabee a cel-eb-rity

and came down with our own two sacred (if, android) tablets.

Our Ten Commandments against conservatism

1. Conservatism is a class movement, for those with the dollars, or those who hope to get them and it is set up as a con for small-people to aspire (sic) to the heights, or keep what they have inside a regime of the big-boys.
2. Conservatism is about 'things,' not about people. Yes, property and constitutions are there for people, but it is not about people as a mass, with rights (and responsibilities too) to have a fair access to the great wealth of the Nation, not to 'share' it equally in some bizarre utopia, but to have a standard of life which can sustain families and Australian Civilisation.
3. Conservatism refuses to look at people as biology. In part, humans are biology, but the vexed subjects of race and ethnos are not conservative fare. The conservative refuses to accept at the bedrock of his reason that as William Lane put it "Australia must be white first, or nothing else can matter." Conservatives can slide around these subjects in a dozen ways, but their bottom line isn't that of William Lane's.
4. Conservatism respects property. but it permits the thieves to keep property that is not theirs. The concentration of property, be that mineral wealth, or finance capital, or land or other assets, in the hands of a minority of thieves is for us – a given of modern Australia. We want back that which they have usurped and otherwise stolen. A conservative will bark a bit about the law and regulation, but recoils at the 'N' word – the nationalization of the property of the thieves. By doing and saying nought, he becomes complicit in a crime against the Nation.
5. Conservatism places tradition ahead of the dynamics of possibility. The conservative would bind up to our potential as a Nation and a People to what has been 'established'. To make a change in the lives of the whole people, to create a new Nation state, to take independence and national freedom, we are bound by fundamental laws of nature and human freedom. Thus the conservative by nature is inert, whereas Life is dynamic requiring National Concerns to be addressed by a party of Life. This gives us the true moral power the conservative talks about when he



Aspiring Northern (Zone) belle, Ma Barker, looking for 457 'niggers' for its plantation-economy: we plan to make it 'gone with the wind'.



**Con’t from p. 3**

are involved in this criminal business," said Deputy Defence Minister Anatoly Antonov.

"Maybe I'm being too blunt, but one can only entrust control over this thieving business to one's closest associates."

"In the West, no one has asked questions about the fact that the Turkish president's son heads one of the biggest energy companies, or that his son-in-law has been appointed energy minister. What a marvelous family business!"

If ‘the Don’ says that NATO has cost the USA too much financially, this might be a clever way of saying that NATO is too embarrassing and that its time is past. This is the view that conservative writer and presidential candidate Ron Paul has promulgated for a long time. If the USA withdrew from NATO, the cause of confrontation with Russia vanishes and the likelihood of a third world war with Russia comes to an end. This is a position welcomed by all ‘white’ European pan nationalists who have argued for a long time for an end to all brother-wars.

Jens Stoltenberg, Secretary General of NATO has written in The Guardian (where else?) that NATO is a key prop of the American based system of globalism and has participated in all its wars. He urges it not be dismantled or allowed to decay, lest the bad Russkies can invade the lands of the free (those countries where drug use, sex changes, paedophilia and mass refugee invasion are the norms). He forgets that in the Fourth Century from eastern and northern Europe barbarian hordes invaded what was then the degenerate Roman Empire – and the Western Gothic Christian Civilisation which followed was a fusion of the value systems of the pagan Indo-European barbarians of the Migration Age and the nascent Christian faith which the Emperor Constantine had imposed upon the Empire. Constantinople, now known as Istanbul, is perceived by Orthodox Christians and Slavophiles as the Second Rome. So, does Stoltenberg fear the rough and ready Russkies (hailing from that Third Rome, Moscow) may ‘invade’ and join with the nascent European pan nationalist forces of Cultural Renaissance to create a real new order, a new European Civilisation free from the cultural syphilis present from the very time of the League of Nations?

Facebook had another positive use when Russian Foreign Ministry spokeswoman, Maria Zakharova explained to her readers what Stoltenberg truly meant to say in his article.

"Here’s the summary of the publication translated into, so to speak, the language of ‘real politics’," she wrote.

"We went to great lengths to please the American establishment, including deploying troops and weaponry on our sovereign territories in the time of peace. How are we going to look our people in the eyes?!! They'll never forgive us for this, especially after sanction wars, which undermined entire branches of our carefully built economy. And you (the US) will regret it when we start living on our own here."

"We turned a blind eye to what the

US did in Afghanistan. That the production of drugs ... has grown many times. And these drugs are, in the first place, sent to us, to Europe. We remain silent about the fact that thousands of soldiers from NATO countries were hurt in America’s escapade in Iraq – not because we are ashamed, but because you have been paying us to do so."

"And take a note, it was enough for us. We are even ready for a smaller allowance, if you wish so. We’ve agreed to spoil our relations with Russia, accusing it of all sins for the sake of the ruling US administration, which made a bid on anti-Russian policy to retain the White House."

**The Syrian war**

Up to this point, the USA has sought ‘regime change’ in Syria. Australia has followed on denouncing President Assad vociferously.

It now appears that Trump will change course and allow Putin and Assad to win the war. This course would take away Israel’s game-plan which has included – the use of ISIS. It should be noted that ISIS has never attacked Israel or Israeli citizens or even denounced Israel. In short, ISIS’s war is a Wahhabi war against other Muslims which matches the Yinon Plan.

In an interview between SBS television and President Assad of Syria, he laid the blame for the war on foreign forces, denouncing any idea that there was an ‘Arab Spring’ (in Syria or anywhere) or a ‘Syrian Revolution’ led by democratic forces and ‘moderate’ Muslims. These ‘moderates’ exist only in the Hollywood world of celluloid.

But Assad told SBS it was more important for governments to help stabilise Syria by not toppling the government.

"There's something more humanitarian and less costly ... help (refugees) stay in their country, help them going back, by helping the stability in Syria – not giving any umbrella or support to the terrorists," he said.

Mr Assad said foreigners could not solve the problems in his country.

"If there's foreigners coming without the permission of the Government they are illegal. Whether they want to fight terrorists or want to fight any other one," he said.

Yet our government has insisted on taking Syrian ‘refugees’ (from a war they have caused like the ones in Afghanistan and Iraq) and they play the role of great humanitarians. This also complements the plan of the world social-engineers in their own ‘white genocide project’. A goal that they would like to see implemented sooner than later! We note too that these ‘refugees’ will become the cheap labour pools for building projects linked to Australia’s ‘Chinese future’.

It is up to the real Nationalists to get these questions into the national dialogue, get them into the mouths of the street level ‘patriot’ groups and all patriotic people - and win this particular part of the political conversation. In the interim, we note ‘that not all quiet flows the Don’ and we wish him on the political stage as many innings as our own renowned Aussie Don (Bradman) achieved on the cricket-pitch. But we also know the game of politics is not cricket, ostensibly a gentleman’s sport - and Donald Trump is not playing with gentlemen or sportsmen.

**END**

**CONSERVATIVE REVOLUTION, con’t from p. 4**

invokes the name of God, but does not possess in practice. It is the moral power of action.

6. Conservatism cannot implement freedom by accepting the limitations of the Constitution. The Constitution, we certainly defend against those who seek to found some sort of merchants and miners republic, a part of the Asia-Pacific! Yes! And we value certain rights it seems to contain that can be used against them. Yet, we are more than aware that the mess of States and Federation, of sterile Parliaments with knocks on the door courtesy of blokes in 18th century dress and maces on the bar of parliament and Governors-General and Prime Ministerial rule, and the rest of fairy-land, does not grant us real freedom - that of delegated democracy and direct democracy and Nationality. If the contract between ruler and ruled becomes broken, we can and we should remake it. Howsoever a sovereign Nationality does that one day means that it has obeyed the tradition that made the tradition the conservative is stuck on – the one that says we have the right to remake the Social Contract.

7. Conservatism is not armed to face the dangerous future. Conservatives are not people who see the world in flames. They see just a momentary upset and seek the stability of the old patterns of benign foreign alliances and social stability based upon hard work and family commitments. These things cannot even be had in the short term. As the globe sinks into chaos, men and women unbound by the past history of subservience to foreign allies and social detachment from the demands of life will be needed to uplift the Nation with new alliances and new social arrangements. The conservatives would wilt with the flames.

8. Conservatism was the false path at each time of the Nation’s history. Indeed, history is the truth-teller. Conservatism has always led Australia into foreign service and called that patriotism. It has always tied the common man to the system. It has always compromised freedom for order. When the historical bills are due, then the truth about any aspect of the history of the Nation may be ripped bare and told. The history of conservatism in practice is wrong. At best it can allude to a brief ‘golden age’ of consumer goods and gone-to-the-beach apathy. A quaint land of hills hoists and Holden cars back in the 1950’s. We would prefer that Australia become a greater state, a power in its region not a base for others and a land of their opportunity. Conservatism is tied to the failure of the Nation to take its full potential.

9. Conservatism cannot make the co-operative Commonwealth, the workingman’s paradise. It may chatter on about giving all a place in the sun, and allowing people to run a business, or to be paid fairly and live safely, but it cannot fire the spirit of sacrifice in the service of a vision of nation-building, a union of the productive classes to win independence and freedom and build an Australia based upon the wealth of the Nation, to plan a co-operative Commonwealth where excellence in living is the goal. After all, deep in the soul of conservatism is the thought that by getting ahead of someone else, a man proves his worth.

10. Conservatism has no blood in it.

It was P.R. Stephensen who spoke of the “harsh, crude men” who would save European Civilisation on the oldest of Continents. Conservatives have that odour of parlour games and debate, of cheering a parade and crossing-oneself. They will bow their heads on ANZAC Day, but forget the golden rule - ‘rule 303’ which these heroes lived by and sacrificed by. Conservatives would not commit themselves to fight a system or powers from the outside that condemn their people to death because at heart they still like the system, want to tinker with it a bit and fix it. In fact, it broke long ago. They cannot speak the words of change, nor believe them either. We can accept blood on the wattle, but the pale conservative seeks only a transfusion!

If the conservatives really do think they would like to ‘save their country’, then they must abandon being conservatives. We will help many people over this affliction. We are in the spirit of Lalor and Kelly, of the shearers and the men of Kokoda. We await Australia’s “darkest but grandest day” (Lawson). We are not conservatives because they reek of yesterday and we are not the last of yesterday, but the first of tomorrow.

**Shame on you**

A wise man said:” fool me once, shame on you, fool me twice, shame on me”.

We don’t intend to be fooled. It seems the Conservative Revolution is tomfoolery, a re-run of the 1980’s sham of Thatcher-Reagan (and John Howard too). They were applauded by the maniacal mob of ranters who were looking to end the world in an apocalypse where Gog of Magog invades their Promised Land. We certainly are not creatures who are waiting for a divinely ordained apocalypse. We have a commitment to Life and desire its continuity!

**‘Section 18c’ Debate Misconceived**

**Con’t from p. 7**

*peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth.*

*Penalty: Imprisonment for 7 years.* This section could be applied broadly and although certain “good faith” provisions and other defences also operate in a way far wider than the 18c law (per Section 18d which does allow various defences), there are many ways to engineer a conviction.

Such a law is a ultimate weapon to protect the state power. It would be brought forward against an organisation which achieved mass status and was present throughout the community and which challenged the globalist state in a way that meant in a crisis situation it could acquire political power in a non traditional way.

The sedition laws are a mortal threat to the Australian people, a coiled snake ready to strike!

**Support Audacity!**

The best way to help Audacity! is to obtain bulk copies. Contact us for prices. Or you can take a subscription or just offer a donation.



# The road to post-crash economics in Australia, and the Royal Commissions into banking and monetary policy.

Allan R. Jones

THERE has been a lot of discussion in the mainstream news lately about the need for, or not for, a Royal Commission into the privately owned banks in Australia. This has come about due to banks' fraudulent dealing with customers, especially in relation to doctored loan applications, and poor advice from bank financial advice sending many to the wall.

A deeper analysis on this issue has to do with conditions that existed in earlier times that brought about the last Royal Commission into banking in 1937; it was in those days after the country had experienced a serious downturn called a depression, and parallels exist today due to leverage of debt clearly seen in the present housing boom, while wages are being eroded.

It is fortunate that this new Royal Commission call is made before there is any more serious downturn as it would allow the public some knowledge into the behaviour of these banks in times when the country is not providing the jobs and growth enough to release it from government deficits. While an increasing total national debt stands at \$70.000 for every man, woman and child, this six trillion dollar debt is being leveraged for more debt.

This demand for a new Royal Commission will hopefully rediscover what was revealed in the last - that the government can issue money to itself, on any terms it chooses, to the extent even that there need not be a requirement to repay the principle or the interest. This part section 504 of the Commission was expressly interpreted by the presiding Justice Napier. However those findings would require a setting up of a public people's bank.

## THE CONDITIONS PRIOR TO A SERIOUS DOWNTURN

The rising cost of homes in my opinion has been a joint effort between the bankers and government, with low rates of interest, and the lack of opening up new housing estates in the earlier stages after 2007-9. Foreign investment also created a demand taking advantage of a continuing soaring house prices, yet the combinations had nothing to do with a burgeoning economy, but it did increase the bank profits post GFC

At the same time, the following situations are also developing: average wage levels compared to housing affordability has slipped. Today it takes ten years of average wages to purchase an average home, compared to three years in the early eighties at a time when interest rates were very high, and the economy in better shape.

This ratio of housing affordability continues to rise. Both mortgage and rental numbers increase, as a lot of people are shifting to investment in property, utilizing their self - managed superannuation funds, and taking advantage of opportunities afforded by negative gearing. Other factors need to be considered that increase debt like the trends in the future of work which impinge on wage reduction.

The future of work includes the

ability to pay an increasing cost of living that includes housing, combined with the general cost of living increases such as electricity and gas supplies, both of which are being manipulated by foreign interests and the politics of futility in intermittent alternate electrical energy misdirected at so called climate change solutions.

A trend in Australia has developed in a reduction of wages. Out of 10+ million workers today 4.5 million are working in part time, casual, or a form of contractual work, each of these types of jobs are insecure, and carry different responsibilities with regard to workers' compensation and entitlements, all of which are less than that provided by hard won union activity in years gone by now being eroded.

The reader might have seen the gloating over employing robots to replace workers. A figure of 44% of the workforce to be replaced is suggested by 2030. One gets a glimpse of the desire and avarice of elites to render the original builders of nations to the scrapheap, as they overlook the fact that there would be a corresponding reduction in consumers to buy any products produced, including less borrowers for banks, and finally for the brain dead political class reductions in tax collections.

I have pointed out the above to emphasise some of the components of the road to post crash economics, or after the bubble bursts. Now let us review what happens in the time after; be it a slow release bubble of boiling the frog technique, or accelerated by a large bank failure in other parts of the world, or perhaps even a protracted war - all of which are tools previously used by the world bankers and schemers of empirical domination.

I have stressed before that the "Bail in laws" that have already been provided for in Australia should be scrapped and protested by the public as these are draconian laws or regulations put out by a foreign power the Bank of International Settlements. They contain powers of confiscation of people's deposits and saving in any bank in Australia, despite the suggestion of a guarantee of deposits by the government up to \$250.000, which simply means that the taxpayer would be bailing themselves out.

Bail in laws have been enacted in Cyprus and recently in Italy after the non-performing loans reached a level of 18% there, pension funds, or super-funds, were raided to repay the loss in the bad debts to the bankers. A limited guarantee won't cover the people's savings despite assurances by governments. Then there is the "engineered defaults", another bank saving move in which the bank decides that the value of the mortgaged home has fallen below the value of the debt owing. The property is foreclosed upon, despite the fact that the borrower can still pay the debt. new Royal Commission into banks.

In summary I suggest: campaigns to scrap the Bail in laws. Increase pressure to introduce the new Royal Commission into banks. Readers to take notice of the above economic trends in housing and employment. Lastly, to keep up to date with what

is happening in Europe with the large banks there.

## Where Does Money Come From?

The Bank of England told the truth in 2014:

"In the modern economy, most money takes the form of bank deposits. But how those bank deposits are created is often misunderstood: the principal way is through commercial banks making loans. *Whenever a bank makes a loan, it simultaneously creates a matching deposit in the borrower's bank account, thereby creating new money.*"

"Commercial [i.e. high-street] banks create money, in the form of bank deposits, by making new loans. When a bank makes a loan, for example to someone taking out a mortgage to buy a house, it does not typically do so by giving them thousands of pounds worth of banknotes. Instead, it credits their bank account with a bank deposit of the size of the mortgage. *At that moment, new money is created.* For this reason, some economists have referred to bank deposits as 'fountain pen money', created at the stroke of bankers' pens when they approve loans.(1)" -[our addition in brackets] (Bank of England, [Money Creation in the Modern Economy](#))

## 'Section 18c' Debate Misconceived

Many Australians have rightly criticised the Commonwealth Racial Discrimination Act Section 18c. which contains a ludicrous section which impedes freedom of speech. The law says:

**RACIAL DISCRIMINATION ACT 1975 - SECT 18C**  
*Offensive behaviour because of race, colour or national or ethnic origin*

(1) *It is unlawful for a person to do an act, otherwise than in private, if:*

(a) *the act is reasonably likely, in all the circumstances, to offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate another person or a group of people; and*

(b) *the act is done because of the race, colour or national or ethnic origin of the other person or of some or all of the people in the group.*

People making complaints for hurt feelings have run a scam. They complain to the Human Rights Commission which inevitably finds it cannot "conciliate" the complaint and it is sent off to the Federal Court. Here they force alleged offenders to spend heavily in their defence and to pay costs when they lose (as they inevitably do).

It is very likely that this anti free speech law will be repealed and so it should. Note that this is a civil process and has no criminal penalty. That was obviously deliberate.



**Al Grassby: a key peddler of multiculti helped drug peddlers**

However, a stronger arsenal of laws exist which can entrap the unwary and which have far more serious consequences. We speak of the sedition laws.

The Commonwealth laws on sedition were re-written in the 1990's at the same time that Section 18c came into force. No one really noticed and the media avoids any discussion of them. Seditious laws were effectively created in the 1920's and were aimed at the Communist Party and militancy in the union movement. They were all about stopping those who incited feelings of hostility between "different classes" of people. The laws were part of the class war from above.

A couple of the offences said:

24A (1) *Subject to sub-section (2) of this section an intention to effect any of the following purposes, that is to say*  
(f) *to excite His Majesty's subjects to attempt to procure the alteration, otherwise than by lawful means, of any matter in the Commonwealth established by law of the Commonwealth; or*  
(g) *to promote feelings of ill-will and hostility between different classes of His Majesty's subjects so as to endanger the peace, order or good government of the Commonwealth, is a seditious intention.*

The penalty was three years imprisonment.

There were also seditious organisations and these could be dissolved.

The notorious Mafia pimp and race discrimination warrior Al Grassby, thought these laws might serve to deal with 'racists'. In a way he was also fighting the new class war from above, the war of the rich traitor class to alter Australia's demographics.

The new law says:

80.2A *Urging violence against groups*  
**Offences**

(1) *A person (the first person) commits an offence if:*

(a) *the first person intentionally urges another person, or a group, to use force or violence against a group (the targeted group); and*

(b) *the first person does so intending that force or violence will occur; and*

(c) *the targeted group is distinguished by race, religion, nationality, national or ethnic origin or political opinion; and*

(d) *the use of the force or violence would threaten the*  
**Cont'd p. 6**

# AUSTRALIA FIRST PARTY



THE Australia First Party has participated in the Federal election

The party's results in four electorates averaged in at just under two per cent, which if extended throughout the country, would give a base of over 305,000 persons. This is a solid number of people which supports our view: "*Australia First does not have voters, we have followers.*"

Australia First Party also contested the New South Wales and Victorian council polls in September and October. Our top score in Blacktown was 8.65% and in Wagga Wagga 4.42% (where we narrowly missed a seat). In Victoria, the party averaged just over 2% in two councils.

THE unity of the nationalist forces remains a crucial goal of the party. The party has joined in an Australian Coalition of Nationalists.

The Coalition includes the Australian Protectionist Party, Nationalist Alternative, the Eureka Youth League and Hellenic Nationalists Australia.

Nationalists have been confronted in the last two years with the growth of an amorphous 'patriot' movement with some potential, but dominated by 'civic patriots' keen to restrain it. The creation of the Coalition offers a pole of attraction to sincere patriots who are thinking outside the square. It has already had some success in opening up dialogue.

## EUREKA YOUTH LEAGUE

A new nationalist youth movement, led by Australian youth, has formed. The Eureka Youth League (EYL) operates a website and a blogsite. See:

<http://eurekayouthleague.angelfire.com/>

<http://eylaust.blogspot.com>

The EYL is the future of the Australian people's movement. It publishes an array of leaflets and adhesive stickers (\$5 per 100). The EYL sells a T-Shirt at \$12 posted (all sizes). Write to P.O. Box N291 Grosvenor Place 1220 for materials from P.O.

## CLASSIC AUSSIE TEXTS BY FRANK ANSTEY, MP

*The Kingdom Of Shylock* and *The Money Power* explained the rise of finance capital in the early 20th Century. What is money? How is it created by private banks? What was the nationalist alternative?

[www.alphalink.com.au/~radnat](http://www.alphalink.com.au/~radnat)

## THE TRUE CAUSE OF AUSTRALIAN INDEPENDENCE

A challenging nationalist pamphlet by Jim Saleam and Lorraine Sharp, available from all party addresses.

## The Eight Core Policies Of Australia First: A Programme And A Method For National Rebirth!

Whatever will benefit Australia, that we are for; whatever will harm Australia, that we are against. William Lane

2 THE Eight Core Policies of Australia First are the basis of association for the party. They are (with explanations and the implied ninth point) as follows:

### 1 Ensure Australia Retains Full Independence

Protect our sovereignty (national, constitutional and personal) and maintain an adequate defence, whilst being reasonable and fair in our nation's international dealings.

### 2 Rebuild Australian Manufacturing Industries

This is the only way we can be self-sufficient. It will provide jobs for our children, and help buy back the farm and allow Australia to be free of foreign debts. Our infrastructure has been run down over many years - it must be rebuilt. We must improve the practicality and relevance of our educational systems, and target government support for industry to diversify, innovate, perform and expand. We recognise that small business is fundamental to this policy. A satisfactory financial environment is also urgent and essential.

### 3 Control Foreign Ownership

Bring foreign ownership and investment back under control.

### 4 Reduce and Limit Immigration

Immigration mistakes can be big long-term mistakes. Immigration policy must take into account social cohesion, employment opportunities, urbanisation and environmental issues

### 5 Abolish Multiculturalism

End the divisive, government-funded and institutionalised policy of multiculturalism.

### 6 Introduce Citizen-Initiated Referenda

Amend the Australian Constitution such that the people can initiate a constitutional referendum which, if approved by the Australian people, will amend the Australian Constitution. This simple step will confirm the political authority of voters and make legislators aware that they are the servants of the Australian People, not their masters. The people directly should also possess the sovereign right and the power to initiate other legislation

### 7. Strengthen the family

Promote policies that strengthen and protect the traditional family.

### 8 Strive to Rebuild A United Australia

Promote policies that recognise the interdependence of city and country.

### 9 Democratise Other Policy Issues

All other policies (non-core policies) are matters of free conscience and are not binding upon Australia First's future parliamentarians or councillors who are to represent their electorates.

Issues of public interest on which Australia First needs to formulate policy will be canvassed with the party membership, and plebiscites conducted where deemed appropriate by the party's National Council. The party also permits branches to formulate specific electoral policies or community policies not inconsistent with the Eight Core Policies.

From time to time, the party will issue material that provides interpretation of the core policies. This interpretative material would reflect the spirit of the party.

The organizational purpose for this statement of policy and system for policy creation is simple: Australia First does not require weighty tomes which change from month to month, as do the programmes of the Establishment parties and those who ape them. It requires a focus for action and for unity within the party.

Australia First is to build a new national movement. Practicality is method.

## Where To Find Australia First

National Contact Line:  
02 8587 0014  
National Council e-mail:  
[ausfirst@alphalink.com.au](mailto:ausfirst@alphalink.com.au)

### New South Wales:

Australia First (NSW) office: P.O. Box 593 Rockdale 2216

Blue Mountains: P.O. Box 202 Katoomba 2780

Coffs Harbour: 0419 492 917

Sutherland Shire: P.O. Box 2499

Taren Point 2228;

AFP Qld. State committee:  
[afp.brisbane@gmail.com](mailto:afp.brisbane@gmail.com)  
Australia First (Qld. Secretary):  
P.O. Box 107 Springwood 4700

Australia First (South Australia)  
[afsa@live.com.au](mailto:afsa@live.com.au)  
P.O. Box 101 Holden Hill 5088

Australia First (Western Australia): P.O. Box 129 Collie 6225

Australia First (Victoria): P.O. Box 223 Croydon 3136

### Other Australia First Contacts

Australia First also operates in other areas such as Hunter Valley, Rockhampton, NSW Central Coast. Contact us to be placed in touch.

### Australia First' Web Sites

[www.australiafirstparty.net](http://www.australiafirstparty.net)

New South Wales:  
<http://ausfirst.alphalink.com.au>

Queensland:  
Australia First Party Brisbane (Facebook)

Victoria:  
[www.australiafirst.net](http://www.australiafirst.net)  
Australia First Party Victoria Facebook

Western Australia:  
<https://www.facebook.com/aus1stwa/>

Riverina:  
<http://australianidentity.blogspot.com>

The party operates other Facebook pages for Rockhampton, Hunter Valley, South Australia, Canberra.